

**MINUTES OF MEETING  
FIDDLER’S CREEK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT #1**

A Regular Meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Fiddler’s Creek Community Development District #1 was held on **Wednesday, November 18, 2015 at 8:00 a.m.**, at the **Fiddler’s Creek Club and Spa, 3470 Club Center Boulevard, Naples, Florida 34114.**

**Present at the meeting were:**

Phil Brougham	Chair
Gerald Bergmoser	Vice Chair
Richard Peterson	Assistant Secretary
Robert Slater	Assistant Secretary
Charles Turner	Assistant Secretary

**Also present were:**

Chuck Adams	District Manager
Cleo Crismond	Assistant Regional Manager
Terry Cole	District Engineer
Tony Pires	District Counsel
Carrie Robinson ( <i>via telephone</i> )	Tobin & Reyes, P.A., Litigation Counsel
Tony DiNardo	Developer
Ron Albeit	The Foundation
Mike Charbonneau	The Foundation
Larry Douglas	LandCare

**FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Call to Order/Roll Call**

Mr. Adams called the meeting to order at 8:00 a.m., and noted, for the record, that all Supervisors were present, in person.

Mr. Adams presented a revised agenda for the Board’s consideration.

**On MOTION by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Mr. Bergmoser, with all in favor, the revised November 18, 2015 agenda, was approved.**

**SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Public Comments: Non-Agenda Items (3 minutes per speaker)**

There being no public comments, the next item followed.

**THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Special Counsel Update**

Ms. Robinson indicated that the hearing on the multiple motions to dismiss, filed by CDD #1 and CDD #2, concluded yesterday. The U.S. Bank and ITG hearing to Dismiss the Amended Counterclaim, filed by CDD #1, was held on October 29, 2015. It was a three-hour hearing, which reconvened for an all day hearing that was held yesterday and concluded. At the start of the hearing, the judge announced that he would not rule from the bench and requested that all parties provide proposed orders, for use in formulating his ruling.

Ms. Robinson reported that arguments were presented on the Motion to Dismiss the CDD's corrected amended counter claim. Opposition was presented and the judge requested proposed orders from all sides, for consideration, within 15 days, with a ruling thereafter. The judge did not anticipate ruling prior to Thanksgiving.

Ms. Robinson felt that the all day hearing proceeded well.

In response to Mr. Brougham's question, Ms. Robinson indicated that the judge did not set a deadline to rule on the proposed orders but this judge typically moves quickly, due to calendar issues. She anticipated a ruling within one week of providing the orders to the judge.

**\*\*\*Ms. Robinson left the meeting.\*\*\***

**FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Developer's Report**

There being no report, the next item followed.

**FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Engineer's Report**

▪ **Update: SR 951 Traffic Signal Installation and Consideration of Final Payments**

**\*\*\*This item, previously the Sixth Order of Business, was presented out of order.\*\*\***

Mr. Cole reported that the SR 951 traffic signal is operating and the actuation time approaching the signal, while exiting out of Fiddler's Creek, is fast. Mr. Brougham estimated 15 seconds for the current actuation time but expected the timing to be lengthened. Mr. Cole indicated that the county will increase the timing on the through traffic, approximately 30 to 60 seconds. Mr. Brougham pointed out that the signal provides a gap for Championship Drive exiting onto SR 951 North.

Mr. Brougham reported that, on opening day, the traffic signal was activated at 11:02 a.m., and by 11:10 a.m., a southbound traffic accident occurred.

Mr. Cole advised everyone to use caution when the traffic signal turns green, as drivers are not accustomed to stopping.

Mr. Cole presented Draw #99, for approximately \$100,010.45, for work related to the traffic signal, including final payment to the contractor. All punchlist items were completed, with the exception of the retainage. David Plummer & Associates (David Plummer) was substantially completed with the work, as the signal was operational on November 2, 2015 and the 90-day burn-in period started. The contractor will be responsible for the traffic signal until February 2, 2016, when the burn-in period ends; on February 2, 2016, it will be turned over to the county.

Mr. Brougham asked when CDD #2 will start receiving invoices. Mr. Cole replied when the retainage is released.

**On MOTION by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Mr. Bergmoser, with all in favor, Draw #99, for the Series 2005 A/B bonds, for work related to the SR 951 traffic signal, in a not-to-exceed amount of \$100,010.45, was approved.**

Mr. Cole reported that the lake bank erosion project was proceeding. Rainfall caused lake levels to rise but levels are currently dropping; however, additional rainfall is expected. The contractor cut the bags on several lakes, this week, and restoration is anticipated to be completed in two weeks. Before the meeting, Mr. Cole received a question about other lake banks that may need erosion repairs, specifically, Lake 15, between Harbor Cove and Mulberry Lane. The lakes in Phase 5 will be inspected and prioritized, based on erosion severity, including Lake 15.

In response to Mr. Brougham's question, Mr. Cole confirmed that erosion was on the northeast and east sides of Lake 15 but he will inspect the entire lake bank.

**SIXTH OFDER OF BUSINESS**

**Update: SR 951 Traffic Signal Installation and Consideration of Final Payments**

This item was discussed during the Fifth Order of Business.

▪ **Fire Hydrants**

*\*\*\*This item was an addition to the agenda.\*\*\**

At the last meeting, Mr. Brougham asked Mr. Cole to submit a list of reputable, local contractors to flush, maintain and replace fire hydrants and forward the information to the HOAs. Mr. Cole will provide a copy to Mr. Adams or Mr. Albeit.

Mr. Brougham reminded Mr. Albeit that the HOA is responsible for maintaining, repairing and painting the fire hydrants at the Sales Center, Whisper Trace, Cascada, Cranberry, Deer Crossing and the Clubhouses. The only exception is Montreux because, during the turnover process, their meters were turned over to East Naples Fire District and, subsequently, to the county; therefore, the hydrants are the county’s responsibility.

Mr. DiNardo explained that Montreux was designed and permitted as a subdivision, not a sub-development.

**SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Discussion: Pavement Restoration**  
*(District Engineer to provide under separate cover)*

- **Summary of Plans**
- **Recommendations**
- **Timetable**
- **Estimated Costs**
- **Reserves/No Reserves**
- **Bond Issuance: Pros and Cons**
- **Financing Options**

Mr. Cole presented a budget projection and map delineating District maintained roadways.

Referring to the budget projection, Mr. Cole identified the following segments:

- Segment 1: Fiddler’s Creek Parkway from the main entry to Championship Drive, which was constructed in 1995. Asphalt restoration is estimated to cost \$400,320 and projected to be completed in 2018.
- Segment 2: Championship Drive to CDD #1 boundary, which was constructed in 2004. Asphalt restoration is estimated to cost \$129,260 and projected to be completed in 2025.

Mr. Cole indicated that four roads are projected to be completed in 2018, based on roadway degradation and the amount of traffic. Fiddler’s Creek Parkway and Championship

Drive receive a significant amount of construction traffic; however, the construction traffic was recently rerouted. The remaining roads will be completed in 2025 and 2035. Cherry Oaks Trail and Cherry Oaks Lane were constructed in 2003 or 2004 but resurfaced, two years ago, by Waste Management, due to an oil spill. These roads are not anticipated to be resurfaced until 2035, as they are local roads. The 2018 roadway segments were estimated at \$701,204; \$609,743 plus a 15% contingency of \$91,000, to cover inspection, bidding, construction and special services. The 2025 roadway segments will cost \$509,643 and the 2035 roadway segments will cost \$47,472.

Regarding the restoration work, Mr. Cole explained the difference between a full milling and an edge milling. A full milling will be completed on Fiddler's Creek Parkway because both lanes slope in one direction. An edge milling will be completed on a two-lane local street or crown roadway and entails milling a few feet along the valley gutter or curb before applying the overlay over the crown. Striping will also be completed.

Mr. Brougham recommended building a reserve, during Fiscal Year 2017, for the 2018 restoration, or issuing a bond.

Mr. DiNardo inquired about the cost of issuing a bond. Mr. Brougham estimated \$700,000. Mr. DiNardo expected the bond to cost \$1 million including the cost of issuance.

Mr. Adams pointed out that a bond was not under consideration, as it did not make sense to complete all three phases at one time. He suggested increasing assessments to build a reserve or financing a private placement loan and collect, going forward.

In response to Mr. Bergmoser's question, Mr. Cole indicated that the costs were based on 2015 dollars and current prices for the paving work, in CDD #2, and other projects. Mr. Bergmoser asked if some work can be extended. Mr. Cole replied no, because many areas on Fiddler's Creek Parkway, particularly between Mulberry Lane and Championship Drive, have cracked pavements but it is possible for Mulberry Lane and Championship Drive to last another three to four years.

Mr. Slater hoped that the heavy truck traffic in and out of Runaway Bay ceases soon, as trucks are causing the roads to deteriorate. Mr. Cole concurred. Mr. Slater asked when the roads in Runaway Bay would need full replacement. Mr. Cole estimated three to 15-20 years.

Mr. Turner asked why Mulberry Lane was delayed to 2025 and Isla Del Sol was scheduled for 2018, as Mulberry Lane was developed before Isla Del Sol. Mr. Cole indicated that the north and south roadways were developed before the interior Isla Del Sol roads and,

depending on the condition, the roads slated for 2018 could be pushed out. Mr. Turner voiced concern that Mulberry Lane was pushed too far out and recommended scheduling them for 2018. Mr. Brougham concurred. Mr. Cole will analyze the recommendation but explained that Mulberry Lane does not have heavy construction traffic. Mr. Brougham noted that, in comparison, the traffic volume on Fiddler's Creek Parkway and Championship Drive and Mulberry Lane are vastly different. Mr. Brougham asked if Mr. Cole preferred changing Mulberry Lane from 2025 to 2018. Mr. Cole replied no.

Mr. Turner asked if a contingency is included for the price of oil. Mr. Cole indicated that a 15% contingency is contemplated; 10% for technical items and 5% for miscellaneous items, such as the price of oil.

Mr. Brougham felt that it was beneficial to have funds in place now, as obtaining a secured loan is a lengthy process. Mr. Adams pointed out that reserving funds would necessitate an increase in assessments.

In response to Mr. DiNardo's question, Mr. Cole indicated that three years remained in the lake bank erosion project. Mr. Adams advised that the asphalt restoration will commence at the conclusion of the lake bank erosion project. Mr. Brougham voiced concern that the District will be burdened with the \$200,000 remaining for the lake bank erosion project and another \$250,000 to establish a reserve.

Mr. Cole suggested spending \$400,000 or \$500,000 for the first segment and deferring \$200,000 or \$300,000 to the following year. Mr. Adams recommended completing Fiddler's Creek Parkway first and apportioning \$200,000 annually over the next several years.

Mr. Bergmoser recommended grouping the roads into high, medium and low priorities and spreading the cost over several years, versus borrowing money or issuing bonds. He felt that Championship Drive was worse than Fiddler's Creek Parkway, due to heavy traffic. Mr. Cole disagreed.

Mr. Slater preferred to issue a special assessment of \$700, per property to restore the 2018 roads. Mr. Brougham disagreed, as it would impact the residents' operation and maintenance (O&M) assessment on the tax bill. Mr. Adams clarified that it would be a one-time fee.

Mr. Slater agreed with restoring Fiddler's Creek Parkway and Club Center Boulevard but not Mulberry Lane and Mahogany Bend Drive, as Mulberry Lane is a private road. Mr. Albeit clarified that Mulberry Lane is not a private road, as it was paid for with CDD bonds, and the

remaining roads were paid for by the developer. Mr. Adams noted that some roads recently transferred to the District.

Mr. Brougham recommended contacting the Whisper Trace, Bent Creek and Peppertree HOAs about contributing funds. He is willing to have the contractor mill and pave Peppertree to save the HOA money by using the same contractor.

Mr. Albeit suggested repaving The Foundation parking lot in 2018 and perhaps The Foundation can piggy back with the CDD.

Mr. Cole reported that, in CCD #2, the second lift of asphalt was completed in Aviamar, Phase 5, Unit 2, at the same time as Callista. By doing this, the developer was able to take advantage of a lower unit price for asphalt. The work was completed at the same time but there were different contracts.

Mr. Brougham requested that the Board discuss reserving funds at the June meeting, during the budget review of 2016.

**EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Consideration of Access Control Services  
Renewal Agreement with The Foundation**

Mr. Brougham presented the Access Control Services Renewal Agreement with The Foundation.

Mr. Brougham asked if the revised agreement was provided. Mr. Adams did not have the revised front page but will attach it to the final document. The effective date was changed to October 27, 2015.

In response to Mr. Brougham's question, Mr. Adams indicated that the additional guard for the main gate was included under Paragraph 3(B). Mr. Brougham asked if there is an increase or decrease, over last year, Fiscal Year 2015 for The Foundation's services. Mr. Adams estimated a \$30,000 increase, which includes increasing the hours of the guard to four hours per day, for four months.

Mr. Adams explained that the contract is standard in nature and the only changes were to the dates, adding a part-time guard at the main gate for four months and the price.

Mr. Bergmoser asked if the \$598,000 total was for both CDDs. Mr. Adams replied affirmatively. Mr. Bergmoser asked if CDD #1's share was included in the budget. Mr. Adams confirmed that the budget included this amount.

In response to Mr. Bergmoser's question, Mr. Charbonneau advised that a Sheriff's Deputy is in the community once per week. Ms. Crismond clarified that the Sheriff's Deputy is onsite two days per week, four hours per day, for six months, in season, commencing November 1, 2015.

Mr. Peterson asked if the budget for access control, for Fiscal Year 2016, is \$368,204. Mr. Adams indicated that this amount is CDD #1's portion. Mr. Peterson asked if the \$598,000, quoted in the contract, is shared on the same percentage basis. Mr. Adams replied affirmatively, noting that the Sheriff's Deputy is an additional \$10,000. In response to Mr. Peterson's question, Mr. Adams confirmed that CDD #2's percentage changed in Fiscal Year 2016, based on an increase in the number of units.

**On MOTION by Mr. Turner and seconded by Mr. Peterson, with all in favor, the Access Control Services Renewal Agreement Addendum with The Foundation, was approved.**

**NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Discussion: Crime Insurance Coverage**

Mr. Adams reported that Wrathell, Hunt and Associates, LLC (WHA) carries \$1 million in employee crime insurance but does not have the ability to increase the limit; however, the District has the option to reinsure, up to \$1 million. The policy covers employees, specifically, Mr. Adams and Mr. Wrathell, officers of the Board but, mainly, Mr. Wrathell, as Treasurer.

Mr. Adams explained that, in the event of a theft, WHA files the claim and the District receives money through WHA's policy, which covers the amount stolen. Shortages would be covered through the District's policy. The cost for the additional insurance is roughly \$800 per year.

Mr. Adams indicated that, each year, the Auditor is required to test the District's internal controls and opine whether they are appropriate for the conditions.

Mr. Adams explained the following invoice process:

1. As invoices are sent to the District, Ms. Crismond reviews and codes them.
2. Ms. Crismond transmits the invoices to Ms. Lucy Marte, in Management's Accounts Payable Department assigned to Fiddler's Creek.
3. Ms. Marte enters the invoices into an aging report, which is submitted to Mr. Adams for review and approval.



4. Ms. Marte prepares the checks and forwards them to the head of Management's Accounting Department for review and execution, before forwarding to the Controller, who will either stamp the checks with Mr. Wrathell's signature or Mr. Wrathell will personally sign them

Mr. Adams advised that comingling those steps could enable a theft to occur; therefore, we separate the steps and as a result the individual creating the checks cannot execute them.

In response to Mr. Pires' questions, Mr. Adams indicated that the crime policy is a theft and dishonesty policy; the aggregate is \$1 million and the District is named as an additional insured. Mr. Pires asked if the District Manager has professional liability coverage. Mr. Adams replied affirmatively. Mr. Adams noted that all of WHA's clients are named as additional insureds on the WHA general liability and secondary policies.

At Mr. Pires' request, Mr. Adams will provide copies of all insurance policies.

Regarding internal controls, Mr. Pires explained that, depending on the District, a general ledger is provided, each month, so that the Board can see the flow of funds. Some Districts require the Chair's signature, which is another layer of internal control, or receive an alert from the bank, if a check is issued or a wire transfer is authorized. Mr. Adams noted they do not have wire capability on any District operating accounts and any transfer between funds requires Mr. Wrathell's approval. Mr. Pires advised that the District can ask the auditor to indicate whether additional internal controls are necessary.

Mr. Brougham recalled discussion about a software package called Positive Pay<sup>®</sup>. Mr. DiNardo explained that Positive Pay<sup>®</sup> sends a file to the bank with a list of vendors and the bank will only release checks to those vendors. Mr. DiNardo preferred that Management authorize a Board Member to send the file to the bank. Mr. Brougham voiced an understanding that the person preparing the Positive Pay<sup>®</sup> file is the same person that is preparing the aging report and checks and he felt comfortable having additional coverage.

Mr. Brougham requested that Staff provide a proposal for additional crime insurance, at the next meeting, and discuss a Board Member signing checks over a specified amount.

Mr. DiNardo recommended that the Board have authority to sign checks. Mr. Adams advised that the District will have greater coverage if WHA handles the checks.

Mr. Brougham felt that discussion was warranted for the Board to review internal controls and procedures, prior to the end of the year and, at a minimum, consider additional insurance.

Mr. Brougham requested that the Treasurer, Mr. Wrathell, prepare a brief presentation on current controls, strengths and weaknesses, proposed changes and alternatives.

**TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Consideration of Resolution 2016-3, Authorizing the District Counsel, District Manager and District Engineer to Enter into Agreements with Fiddler's Creek LLC to Prepare, Submit and Process an Amendment to the Boundaries of the District**

Mr. Pires presented Resolution 2016-3 for the Board's consideration. This is the second boundary change, which is referenced in the Settlement Agreement, for swapping acreage with CDD #2.

Mr. Cole referred to a map and indicated that areas in blue were moved from CDD #1 to future development areas in the east, areas in yellow moved from CDD #2 to CDD #1 and areas in red moved from CDD #1 to CDD #2.

Mr. Brougham estimated that the net effect on CDD #1 was nil. Mr. Cole reported that the District lost 47 acres. A portion of Lake 43B will be removed from CDD #1; however, it is part of the water management system and must be maintained through easement agreements. Mr. Brougham understood that this does not affect the Equivalent Residential Unit (ERU) or assessments, which was his primary concern. Mr. Cole concurred. Mr. DiNardo recalled that this was agreed to when the deal was made.

Mr. Pires advised that the details will be included in the Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) when the hearing is held.

In response to Mr. Brougham's question, Mr. Pires indicated that all costs related to the land swap will be borne by the developer.

Mr. DiNardo requested that the resolution reflect Fishkind & Associates, Inc. (Fishkind), as Financial Advisor. Mr. Pires advised that the resolution does not mention Fishkind, it only mentions professionals. Mr. Brougham pointed out that Dr. Fishkind is a professional.

**On MOTION by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Mr. Turner, with all in favor, Resolution 2016-3, Authorizing the District Counsel, District Manager and District Engineer to Enter into Agreements with Fiddler's Creek LLC, to Prepare, Submit and Process an Amendment to the Boundaries of the District, was adopted.**

**ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Approval of October 28, 2015 Public Hearing and Regular Meeting Minutes**

Mr. Brougham presented the October 28, 2015 Public Hearing and Regular Meeting Minutes and asked for any additions, deletions or corrections.

The following changes were made:

Lines 222, 224 and 267: Change "Wire" to "Weyer"

Lines 209 and 210: Delete "(to be provided under separate cover)"

**On MOTION by Mr. Bergmoser and seconded by Mr. Turner, with all in favor, the October 28, 2015 Public Hearing and Regular Meeting Minutes, as amended, were approved.**

**TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Action Items**

Mr. DiNardo asked when the title work will be completed for the properties that were supposed to be turned over to the District in August. Mr. Pires will submit documents at the next meeting.

It was noted that Item 14 was completed and the only remaining item is for Mr. Adams to open the account at Iberia Bank. Mr. Brougham requested that this item be removed from the Action Item List.

Items 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9 and 12 were continued.

Items 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 were removed.

**THIRTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Other Business**

There being no other business, the next item followed.

**FOURTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Staff Reports**

**A. District Counsel**

Mr. Pires received the transcript from last week's hearing and will send it to the Governor and Cabinet.

**B. District Manager**

**i. Approval of Unaudited Financial Statements as of October 31, 2015**

Mr. Brougham presented the Unaudited Financial Statements as of October 31, 2015.

Regarding the Cash Flow Analysis, Mr. Adams reported that, by this time next month, assessment revenues should be flowing into the District.

In response to Mr. Brougham's question, Mr. Adams indicated that it was not necessary to hold any invoices for this run but it might be necessary to hold one for the next run.

**ii. NEXT MEETING DATE: December 9, 2015 at 8:00 A.M.**

The next meeting will be held on December 9, 2015 at 8:00 a.m.

**C. Operations Manager**

Mr. Brougham asked who owns the canopy trees around the perimeter of the community pool, just inside the Deer Crossing entrance. Ms. Crismond indicated that the trees belong to the CDD and are scheduled to be trimmed this week. She responded to a Condo Board Member, by email, about when the contractor was trimming the trees and requested that the Condo Board Member close the pool early in the morning. Ms. Crismond will forward the email to Mr. Brougham.

Mr. Brougham was surprised about how many Condo and HOA Board Members do not respond to residents, as CDD Board Members continually receive emails from discouraged residents.

Ms. Crismond presented the Operations Report. She reported that the street sweeper cleans all roadways on the first Friday, of each month, for \$400 per month but only Mahogany Bend and Club Center Drive have construction activity. The cost to clean Mahogany Bend and Club Center Drive, only, is \$150 per month.

Mr. Brougham felt that the \$4,800 could be used elsewhere, as most streets do not need cleaning. If a street needs immediate service, Ms. Crismond can contact the street sweeper for a spot treatment.

There was Board consensus to only use the street sweeper, on Mahogany Bend and Club Center Drive, for \$150, per month.

Mr. Bergmoser noticed that dead trees were being replaced with Cassias and recalled that several Cassias were diseased. Ms. Crismond indicated that the Cassias are treatable and the trees being replaced were damaged by a storm, in August. Ms. Crismond thought that the Cassias were “beautiful” and could not see replacing the Cassias, along SR 951 to the gatehouse, with Ligustrums.

Mr. Bergmoser expressed concern about the diseased Cassias. Ms. Crismond pointed out that, as long as the landscape contractor keeps the Cassias well drained, the trees will last another ten years.

Mr. Brougham asked if the landscape contractor will replace the diseased trees, at their cost. Ms. Crismond replied affirmatively.

In response to Mr. Brougham’s question, Ms. Crismond indicated that the tree removed from the resident lane island, at the gatehouse, on Fiddler’s Creek Parkway, will be replaced with a Cassia.

Mr. Brougham recalled that, two or three years ago, a cost share arrangement was discussed with The Rookery, for the Guava trees, on the north side of Club Center Drive, and extending the Bougainvillea hedge line. At the time, The Rookery could not afford it. Mr. Brougham spoke with Mr. Chris Major and estimates were obtained from several landscapers. The cost is approximately \$12,000 or \$13,000, including removal. Mr. Major agreed to pay the removal cost, which is approximately \$6,000; however, he wanted to wait until next Spring, as there is no irrigation.

Mr. Bergmoser asked if The Rookery maintains this property. Mr. Brougham indicated that it is District property, which is maintained by the District.

Mr. Brougham asked Ms. Crismond to check the irrigation, to determine if a line can be extended, and obtain proposals.

**FIFTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Supervisors’ Requests**

Mr. Turner asked about replacement of the extra cruiser car, as it is 11 years old and has 270,000 miles.

Mr. Adams recalled that a decision was made, at the suggestion of Mr. Charbonneau, to continue using the Crown Victoria and surplus the Taurus that was being replaced.

In response to a question, he recalled discussion about the 24-month rotation period on the Explorer, which has 68,000 miles. Mr. Charbonneau did not recommend repairing the 2004 vehicle and suggested budgeting for another vehicle in Fiscal Year 2017.

Mr. Adams pointed out that the Fiscal Year 2017 budget contemplates replacement of the Explorer. The Explorer could then rotate down and the Crown Victoria can be replaced with the Explorer.

Mr. Slater asked if the plants in the islands, entering Fiddler’s Creek Parkway from SR 951, will be replaced. Ms. Crismond indicated that seasonal flowers will be planted this week. Mr. Douglas advised that the top soil will be replaced tomorrow and Friday and new plants will be installed the beginning of next week. The Coleus cannot be replaced, as are they are out of season.

**SIXTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Adjournment**

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting adjourned.

**On MOTION by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Mr. Bergmoser, with all in favor, the meeting adjourned at approximately 9:12 a.m.**

[SIGNATURES APPEAR ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE]

---

Secretary/Assistant Secretary

---

Chair/Vice Chair



Secretary/Assistant Secretary



Chair/Vice Chair