

**FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
DISTRICT #1**

MEETING AGENDA

January 23, 2008

Fiddler's Creek Community Development District #1

6131 Lyons Road, Suite 100 • Coconut Creek, Florida 33073

Phone: (954) 426-2105 • Fax: (954) 426-2147 • Toll-free: (877) 276-0889

January 16, 2008

Board of Supervisors

Fiddler's Creek Community Development District #1

Dear Board Members:

The Regular Meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Fiddler's Creek Community Development District #1 will be held on **Wednesday, January 23, 2008 at 10:00 a.m.** at the **Fiddler's Creek Club and Spa, 3470 Club Center Drive, Naples, Florida 34114.** The following is the advance agenda for this meeting:

1. Roll Call
2. Discussion: Marco News Article, "*Marco Council Members Receive City Email Addresses*"
3. Further Discussion regarding Replacement of the Top Handrail on the Nature Park Walkway
4. Discussion with Foundation regarding Training Procedures in place for Security Personnel
5. Further Discussion regarding Irrigation Water Restrictions and Variances
6. Follow-up Discussion on Bid Protest from Prior Meeting
7. Approval of minutes
 - **November 28, 2007** Joint Board Meeting
 - **December 19, 2007** Joint Board Meeting
8. Other Business
9. Staff Reports
 - a) Attorney
 - b) Manager
 1. Unaudited Financials as of December 31, 2007
 2. Annual Continuing Disclosure Report
 - c) Operations Manager

10. Supervisors Requests and Audience Comments

11. Adjournment

The remainder of the agenda is routine in nature. In the meantime, if you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,



Chesley E. Adams, Jr.
District Manager

CA:dg

For anyone unable to attend in person, a toll-free call-in number of **1-866-238-1579** has been established.

Please input the participant code of **820076**. You will be placed on hold until the host calls in. Once the host calls, in all parties will be joined in on the same line.

Should you have any questions and/or concerns regarding this matter, please feel free to contact Chuck Adams, District Manager at (239) 464-7114.

Marco Council members receive city e-mail addresses

Move may help reduce confusion, problems with sunshine compliance

By LESLIE WILLIAMS (Contact)
Friday, December 21, 2007

State attorneys have investigated a handful of sunshine violation complaints against Marco Island City Councilors in the last year, but a move by the city could help avoid new problems with e-mail communications.

City Hall is in the process of creating e-mail accounts for the City Council members, which would automatically archive council communications on the city's server.

"It's for the convenience of the Council members," said Interim City Manager Tony Shoemaker, "so they don't have to worry about filing things."

However, the city launched the initiative soon after the most recent major sunshine violation complaint was lodged against City Councilor Chuck Kiester. State attorneys issued a summons to Kiester Dec. 4 for allegedly deleting city business e-mails from his home account, charging him with a noncriminal violation of the state's open government laws.

The councilors are not being required to use the new e-mail accounts, but most are opting to, including Kiester.

"I think it's a great idea," he said. "This is a step in the right direction."

Fellow Councilor Bill Trotter said the new account wouldn't change much for him, only to simplify the process he had already engaged in with keeping old e-mails.

However, Councilor Rob Popoff called the move "long overdue."

"I think there's been so many questions recently about potential sunshine violations, and this is a way to avoid it," Popoff said. "We will have a record at City Hall of all of the e-mails that go to and from City Councilors, and that's a great idea. That's the way it should be."

Councilor Ted Forcht agreed, saying he thought the move would "make our governmental communication cleaner."

"I think it's nothing but a positive good and we should have been doing this from the very beginning," Forcht added.

While Forcht said he regularly provided his e-mails to City Hall, he acknowledged that the line between personal and city business e-mails can be thin.

"I was turning over all of my e-mails I got just because I didn't want to make the decision on what was governmental and what was personal," he said.

Which raises other issues of education, as Kiester hinted when he suggested that new councilors should receive training on how to deal with computer technology when learning the ins and outs of sunshine compliance.

Councilors Forcht (TForcht@cityofmarcoisland.com), Kiester (CKiester@cityofmarcoisland.com), Popoff (RPopoff@cityofmarcoisland.com), and Trotter (BTrotter@cityofmarcoisland.com) all said they planned to use their new city e-mails.

Councilors Mike Minozzi, Glenn Tucker and Terri DiSciullo could not be reached before the holiday to determine whether they will be using the new e-mail addresses, though the whole council can still be reached by e-mailing CityCouncil@cityofmarcoisland.com.

Daphne Gillyard

From: chesleyadams@sprintpcs.com on behalf of Chuck Adams [adamsc@whhassociates.com]
Sent: Monday, December 24, 2007 10:07 AM
To: Daphne Gillyard
Cc: Cleo Crismond
Subject: FW: Marsh Pointe Nature Park - Hand Rail Detail

Please include this e-mail chain on the FCCDD #1 January Agenda. "Further discussion regarding replacement of the top handrail on the nature park walkway".

Thanks

Chuck Adams
Director of Operations
Wrathell, Hart, Hunt and Associates, LLC
(239) 464-7114 cell

From: Ron Albeit [mailto:AlbeitR@fiddlerscreek.com]
Sent: Friday, December 21, 2007 4:17 PM
To: Chuck Adams; Phil Brougham
Subject: FW: Marsh Pointe Nature Park - Hand Rail Detail

Gentlemen,

Based on our VP of development's comments below I suggest we replace the top rail with the PT wood and wait to replace the whole deck in the future.

Ron

From: Ned Johns
Sent: Friday, December 21, 2007 10:57 AM
To: Ron Albeit
Subject: Marsh Pointe Nature Park - Hand Rail Detail

Ron,

Per your request I have reviewed Hans Wilson & Associates, Inc report and recommendations and visited the site with the product samples you provided. I have several concerns and comments:

1. The color match and detailing (size, rail height requirement, etc.) will be a challenge. If you go with this fix I would recommend an in place mock-up.
2. Once you start to fix one part of this construction with another material it will lead to further questions (what about the lower rail, the bench mid-way out to the gazebo, etc, etc,) That is what led to the change in cost already received. The contractor was just trying to pin down the correct scope of work. The mock-up will help in a final scope decision process.
3. More significantly, it is usually not a good idea to use different materials which have different projected lives. If the deck and support system are now say 7-8 years old and their projected life is 15 years, what do you do with this top rail in seven years? What do you use to do future repairs in between if only small sections need repair? The Gazebo which is protected from the sun is in much better shape than the unprotected sections. Doesn't it make more sense to replace the rail in a

wood product that better matches and then, when needed, replace the entire structure uniformly. I think this is the same debate we had on the deck outside the Gator Grille. A wood fix is less expensive and should match up much better. By the way, with a wood fix the railing under the Gazebo may not need to be replaced at this time.

4. One technical point I should make here so it doesn't get overlooked: when the rail is replaced the top of the rail must be a minimum of 42" off the deck to meet code. If a 2x6 is used in lieu of the 2x10 shown it will not slope to the full 42" height. So some modification will be needed. The contractor apparently was going to combine a 2x6 and a 2x4 somehow (Another reason for a mock-up). You can best understand this point by looking at the typical cross section supplied by the consultant.

Sorry to make this more complicated, but you asked for my input.

Regards,

Ned Johns

Vice President

GULF BAY CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

3265 Sandpiper Drive

Naples, FL 34114

239-417-6440 office

239-417-6450 fax

johnsn@gulfbay.com

MINUTES OF MEETING

November 28, 2007

Joint Board Meeting

**MINUTES OF MEETING
FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS #1 & #2**

The Joint Board Meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Fiddler's Creek Community Development District #1 and Fiddler's Creek Community Development District #2 was held on Wednesday, November 28, 2007 at 9:15 a.m., at the Fiddler's Creek Club and Spa, 3470 Club Center Drive, Naples, Florida 34114.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

For Fiddler's Creek #1:

Phillip Brougham	Chairman
Alexander Love	Vice Chairman
James Curland	Assistant Secretary
Peggy Schmitt	Assistant Secretary
James Robertson	Assistant Secretary

For Fiddler's Creek #2:

Clifford Olson	Chairman
James Robertson	Vice Chairman
Diane Matire	Assistant Secretary
Victoria DiNardo	Assistant Secretary
Gretchen Scott	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Chuck Adams	District Manager
Cleo Crismond	Assistant Regional Manager
Terry Cole	District Engineer
Anthony Pires	District Counsel
Ron Albeit	Foundation Manager

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS:

Roll Call.

Mr. Adams called the meeting to order and called the roll.

FIDDLER'S CREEK C.D.D. #2 ITEMS:

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS: Oath of Office.

Mr. Adams stated as a result of the Landowners Election Meeting for Fiddler's Creek #2 held prior to this meeting, I will administer the Oath of Office to the newly elected Board members.

Mr. Adams, a Notary of the State of Florida and duly authorized, administered the Oath of Office to Ms. Matire, Ms.DiNardo and Mr. Robertson. Mr. Adams also provided a separate package containing the Overview of District Responsibilities; the Guide to the Sunshine Amendment and Code of Ethics; Form 1-Statement of Financial Interests; Form 1X-Amendment to Form 1 and Form 1F-Final Statement of Financial Interests.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS: Consideration of Resolution 2008-1.

Mr. Adams explained that Resolution 2008-1 is for the purpose of canvassing and certifying the Results of the Landowners Election for Fiddler's Creek #2.

On MOTION by Ms. DiNardo and seconded by Mr. Robertson with all in favor of approving Resolution 2008-1; canvassing and certifying the Results of the Landowners Election for Fiddler's Creek #2.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS: Consideration of Resolution 2008-2.

Mr. Adams stated Resolution 2008-2 is for the purpose of re-designating the Officers of Fiddler's Creek Community Development District #2.

The Board members would like to re-designate Mr. Robertson as Chairman and Mr. Olson as Vice Chairman.

On MOTION by Mr. Olson and seconded by Ms. DiNardo with all in favor of approving Resolution 2008-2; re-designating the Chairman and Vice Chairman as discussed.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS: Staff Reports.

- A. Attorney**

Mr. Adams explained a protest received from X-Scapes of Naples regarding the Lakes and Wetlands and Landscaping bid; they were deemed non-responsive at the time. Your Attorney is recommending a protest hearing following the Rules of Procedure and the meeting is scheduled to be held on December 19, 2007. Both Districts would have a separate meeting time.

On MOTION by Ms. Matire and seconded by Ms. DiNardo with all in favor of setting the Protest Hearing for December 19, 2007 at 9:00 a.m. for the Lake & Wetland Hearing and 9:30 a.m. for Landscape Hearing for Fiddler's Creek #1.

B. Engineer

Mr. Cole presented one pay draw for Fiddler's Creek #2 for \$972,000.00 for various work areas in Phase 4, unit 2; Phase 5, unit 2; roadways, street lighting, water and sewer mains and drainage connections.

C. Manager

- 1. Mr. Adams provided the unaudited financial statements as of October 31, 2007.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS:

Supervisors' Requests & Audience Comments.

Mr. Robertson requested a revised Budget exhibit due to an error in the Fiddler's Creek #2 Budget reflecting the additional neighborhoods that were not listed.

Mr. Adams will make the corrections to the Budget as requested.

JOINT MEETING ITEMS:

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS:

Consideration of Proposal for Remote Access Program.

Mr. Adams provided a proposal for Remote Access Program from TEM for Championship Boulevard, within the Agenda Packages. The ultimate future goal of both Boards is to have this gate unmanned.

Mr. Adams explained how the Remote Access Program functions.

The Budgeted expense for both Districts was discussed; there has been a decrease in the initial projected costs.

Discussion followed regarding possible scenarios of difficulty in entering or exiting after hours.

Mr. Brougham commented there will be instances where there will be difficulties and inconveniences; there will never be a perfect system.

The savings to the District's by using an unmanned gate is \$75,000.00 annually.

Mr. Adams clarified the purpose of the unmanned gate system in providing more convenience for residents, guests, and vendors, etc.

Ms. Scott expressed her concern about traffic backups at the unmanned gate.

Mr. Albeit explained this system should alleviate that type of problem and stated there are proactive steps that will be taken if there are back ups; this is the next phase of the security process for the Districts.

Mr. Brougham encouraged the Board members to move ahead with this system.

On MOTION by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Mr. Love with all in favor for Fiddler's Creek #1 approving the Remote Access Program as discussed in an amount not to exceed \$40,000.00

On MOTION by Mr. Robertson and seconded by Mr. Olson with all in favor for Fiddler's Creek #2 approving the Remote Access Program as discussed.

Mr. Adams stated with the additional small expenses, I would expect this not to exceed \$40,000.00; you will have some options regarding the financing, perhaps a shorter term lease.

Discussion followed regarding shortening the financing period and possible maintenance costs with the addition of requesting the preferred client agreement.

On MOTION by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Mr. Love with all in favor for Fiddler's Creek #1 of authorizing Staff to negotiate payment of the Remote Access System with payment of the expense utilizing current year budget and surplus funds.

On MOTION by Mr. Olson and seconded by Mr. Robertson with all in favor for Fiddler's Creek #2 of authorizing Staff to negotiate payment of the Remote Access System with payment of the expense utilizing current year budget and surplus funds.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS: Consideration of Post Orders.

Mr. Adams explained the revision to the Post Orders primarily pertains to rewording the non-resident Golf members.

Mr. Brougham explained the rewording of the Amendment; "non-resident Golf members will be issued a visitor's pass (printed with barcode), members will be asked to position the visitors pass on the vehicle's dash and advised they must use the visitor's pass at the pedestal reader for unrestricted ingress at the interior ingress lane closest to the Gatehouse at the Fiddler's Creek Parkway gate and the ingress lane at the Championship Drive gate. Unrestricted egress will be by using the pedestal readers located at all egress barrier gates. Optionally, non-resident Golf members may purchase a gate transmitter for unrestricted ingress through the resident lane at the Fiddler's Creek Parkway gate and the ingress lane at the Championship Lane. Unrestricted egress will be utilized by utilizing the gate transmitter at all secondary egress barrier gates."

On MOTION by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Mr. Robertson with all in favor for Fiddler's Creek #1; of approving the amendment to the Post Orders as discussed.

On MOTION by Mr. Olson and seconded by Ms. Scott with all in favor for Fiddler's Creek #2; of approving the amendment to the Post Orders as discussed.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS: Approval of October 24, 2007 Meeting Minutes.

Mr. Adams invited the Board members to review and make corrections as needed to the meeting minutes.

Mr. Love requested that his comments regarding the process for obtaining maintenance proposals; the major portion of money discussed; and stated I want it on the record. Also, a comment was made regarding One Source and the securing of their Occupation License.

A. Attorney

Mr. Pires discussed the Protest Hearing for Lake and Wetlands contract to be scheduled for December 19th at 11:00 for approximately 15 minutes and suggested a two hour hearing for the Landscape Maintenance Protest Hearing. Mr. Pires stated that the hearings will be open to all who submitted a bid.

On MOTION by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Ms. Schmitt with all in favor of approving District Counsel's recommendation of setting the Protest Hearing for Lake & Wetlands for December 19th at 11:00 a.m., and the Landscape Maintenance Hearing for December 19th at 11:15 a.m.

Mr. Pires discussed the licensing and maintenance agreement for the gazebo and boardwalk; the document describes the parcel as provided by Mark Strain and Mr. Pires would also like to have photographs to attach to the exhibit. Otherwise, the document is ready for execution.

Mr. Pires stated that a second letter to Severn Trent has been composed and shared information regarding litigation and judgment in other cases against Severn Trent.

B. Engineer

Mr. Cole presented pay draw #17 for the Phase 4 Bond in the amount of \$539,000.00 for work in Phase 6, unit 1; consisting of water and sewer mains; clearing; excavation and storm drainage.

Mr. Cole discussed the milling and repaving of Championship Drive; there was more patching than anticipated, the costs was +\$24,000.00. The double line no passing on Championship Drive, with the signage, is estimated at \$13,000.00.

Mr. Cole asked the Board for authorization up to an additional \$15,000.00 to cover this project.

Discussion followed regarding the necessity of the double lines and the addition of signage due to violators of the speed limits; the concern is that there are too many signs in the community. The Board decided to approve the double stripping with no signage.

On MOTION by Mr. Love and seconded by Ms. Schmitt with all in favor of approving the double stripping “no passing” zone on Championship Drive.

Mr. Cole discussed the upcoming Phase III irrigation restrictions in response to an email received from Mr. Brougham.

Mr. Brougham discussed the restricted hours of the irrigation system and whether there is sufficient pressure in the sprinkler heads for supplying adequate irrigation.

Mr. Adams clarified the restrictions under the current Phase II watering restrictions; which are intended to reduce usage by 30%.

Mr. Cole discussed the locations of the three pump houses; the design of the system to meet the requirements based on a four hour irrigation program and a minimum of 40 PSI. Phase III will reduce the usage by 45%.

Mr. Brougham stated my concern is that I am not getting full coverage and my neighbors are not getting full coverage.

Enforcement of the water restrictions; the necessity of compliance with the rules for the District and the possibility of shutting down the pump house to ensure compliance and avoid fines continued.

Mr. Pires commented that other communities are utilizing the shutting off of the irrigation valves to control usage; the District could implement a zoned irrigation schedule for residents to follow.

The District has ownership of the pump stations and distribution lines; the rights to the source are owned through the Consumptive Use Permit which is held by 951.

C. Manager

1. Unaudited financial statements as of October 31, 2007.

Mr. Brougham requested the True Up report for the last Fiscal Year.

Mr. Adams will send the report via email.

Further discussion/review of the financial statements followed.

Mr. Brougham updated the Board on the DOT Landscape Beautification Grant; it has been finalized and approved and submitted to DOT on time.

The Grant will be awarded in January in Orlando and Mr. Brougham will plan to be in attendance. The landscape architect cost was \$4,266.00.

2. Operations Report:

Ms. Crismond discussed the sign replacement project; it has been started and will include repositioning of the signs as discussed at the last meeting.

Ms. Crismond is researching the costs for an alternative to the pumps around the lake banks. The three year maintenance amount is \$38,033.00.

Ms. Crismond reported that a review of the lakes with Lake Masters took place on November 9th.

Littoral plantings are to begin in December around five or six lakes where the plantings had been damaged by Aquagenix.

Ms. Crismond stated I am waiting on one revision on the contractor's bid for tree replacement; I ask for approval today not to exceed \$10,175.00 which is the One Source bid.

Mr. Adams stated those are warranty, I am deducting the expense from some outstanding invoices.

Annuals have been installed, there will be some replaced in the entrance area to the east; fertilization began on Friday.

The Sheriff's patrol was noted recently after hours; the service is two patrols a month from 4:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.

Mr. Brougham discussed the lack of service available from the Sheriff's department.

Ms. Crismond stated FHP is more expensive but available.

Mr. Brougham discussed the issue with the Ficus trees and the need of trimming.

Mr. Adams presented the GPS reports and reviewed the information included in the report.

THIRTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS:

Supervisors Requests & Audience Comments.

Ms. Schmitt discussed the missing communities on the assessment report and requested confirmation from Alice Carlson that the three neighborhoods were added to the rolls.

Mr. Adams will email this confirmation to the Board members.

Ms. Schmitt asked if you could touch base with One Source regarding the closing the irrigation boxes; many of them are left opened. The blue reflectors on the streets were discussed, this is the responsibility of the Fire Department and will be brought to the attention of the Fire District.

Ms. Schmitt discussed the lots on Mahogany Bend, lots 12 and 14; there is overflowing trash receptacles.

Mr. Albeit will check on these areas since this is the responsibility of the Foundation.

Mr. Brougham discussed a house on Mahogany Drive that is overgrown.

Mr. Love asked Ms. Crismond if there was an update on the lift station on Mulberry?

Ms. Crismond stated they have not returned my call, I will follow up on that.

Mr. Love commented that One Source did not have an Occupational License posted on the website.

Mr. Adams stated they will have it today.

Mr. Curland discussed a portion of the sidewalk that is broken and in need of repair; and asked about the area on Club Center Drive that is in need of landscaping.

Mr. Adams stated this is under Developer control.

Also discussed was construction traffic going through the Cherry Oaks community.

Mr. Curland discussed the curb/ramp issue on Championship.

Mr. Brougham stated those were pending due to the uncertainty of Bond funds.

FOURTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS: Adjournment.

There being no further business, all were in agreement with adjournment.

**On MOTION by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Ms. Schmitt
with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned.**

For Fiddler's Creek #1:

Secretary/Assistant Secretary

Chairman/Vice Chairman

For Fiddler's Creek #2:

Secretary/Assistant Secretary

Chairman/Vice Chairman

MINUTES OF MEETING

December 19, 2007

Joint Board Meeting

**MINUTES OF MEETING
FIDDLER'S CREEK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 1 & 2**

The Board Meetings of the Board of Supervisors of the Fiddler's Creek Community Development Districts # 1 and #2 were held on Wednesday, December 19, 2007, at 9:00 a.m. located at the Fiddler's Creek Club and Spa, 3470 Club Center Drive, Naples, Florida 34114.

Those present for Fiddler's Creek #1 were:

Phillip Brougham	Chairman
Alexander Love	Vice Chairman
James Curland	Assistant Secretary
Peggy Schmitt	Assistant Secretary
James Robertson (via telephone)	Assistant Secretary

Those present for Fiddler's Creek #2 were:

Clifford Olson	Chairman
James Robertson (via telephone)	Vice Chairman
Diane Matire	Assistant Secretary
Victoria DiNardo	Assistant Secretary
Gretchen Scott	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Chuck Adams	District Manager
Cleo Crismond	Assistant Regional Manager
Terry Cole	District Engineer
Carlo Zampogna	District Counsel
Ron Albeit	Foundation Manager
Tony Pires (1:30 session only)	District Counsel

FIDDLER'S CREEK CDD # 2 ITEMS

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call.

Mr. Adams called the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m.

Mr. Adams announced that for CDD # 2, Supervisors DiNardo, Matire, Scoot and Olson were present. For CDD # 1, Supervisors Brougham, Schmitt, Love and Curland were present. For both Districts, Supervisor Robertson was present via telephone.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Protest Hearing for Lake and Wetland Maintenance.

Mr. Adams turned the meeting over to the District Attorney.

Mr. Zampogna advised that on December 18, 2007, via email, Rolando Santiago filed a withdrawal without prejudice of the bid protest. He advised that staff recommended the Board make a determination to challenge the bidding process and summarily dismiss the bid protest and award the bid to Lake Masters.

On MOTION by Mr. Olson and seconded by Ms. Scott, all were in favor of re-affirming the contract award for lake and wetland maintenance to Lake Masters.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Protest Hearing for Landscape Maintenance.

Mr. Adams stated this item will be pushed back as the Board had previously indicated a 9:30 start for this item.

Mr. Adams suggested discussing Joint Meeting items at this time.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports.

Engineer

Mr. Cole presented draws for District 2 as follows:

The 2000 Series Bond, pay draw # 51 was for approximately \$8,000, consisting of a variety of landscape planting for Cranberry, Sandpiper and Lake 88 area, (inaudible) and Fiddlers Creek Parkway extension. There were miscellaneous landscape items.

The 2005 Series Bond, pay draw # 23 was for approximately \$854,000, consisting of landscape related work, work related to fountains for Via Mar and landscape plantings in this vicinity.

It was asked whether there is \$14 million left in the Bond. Mr. Cole responded affirmatively.

Mr. Cole presented draws for District 1 as follows:

The 2002 Series Bond, pay draw # 54, was for approximately \$35,000, for work related the repaving work on Championship Drive and some signage. The contractor will get the striping done and install the No Passing signage on Championship Drive.

It was commented that the Board decided not to add signage last month. Mr. Cole stated he will redirect the contractors.

Mr. Cole advised that regarding the 2002 Series Bond, he had confirmed with the developer's representative that the bond will be closed out in the draw for the first part of January. He will then submit a final Engineer's Report for this bond in January. He advised that there will be additional funds that can be used from Bond 4 to specifically put in the sidewalks. Staff will pursue this next year.

Mr. Brougham recalled that he had asked Mr. Cole for costs and quotes for placing reflectors down the middle of Championship Drive. Mr. Cole advised that the contractor will give him a price on this also.

The 2005 Series Bond, draw # 18, was for approximately \$263,000 and consisted of a variety of work primarily for infrastructure related to road work, water mains, sewer mains, irrigation piping and storm drain structures.

Manager

Unaudited Financial Statements as of September 30, 2007:

Mr. Adams presented financial statements through the end of November.

Remote Access Program

Mr. Adams recalled that the Board had approved the contract for remote access at the Championship gate, for an amount not to exceed \$40,000. Mr. Adams advised that in follow up discussions with Lee Herman with TEM regarding the ticket printer, he learned there is a one-year warranty from the manufacturer. TEM does not manufacture this component and does not normally deal with this type of printer application. Because of the nature of the printer, staff recommended purchasing a second ticket printer to have on the shelf in the event of a failure instead of waiting for turnaround time it would take to order parts if the printer failure. The price will be \$9,900 and TEM will store the printer for the District at their shop. Mr. Adams advised that since this would amount to a \$10,000

expense, the overall cost will be \$50,000. He added that in his opinion this will be money well spent as an insurance policy.

Ms. Scott asked where TEM's shop is located. In response, Mr. Adams advised their shop is in Naples.

Mr. Robertson asked how many hours of gate guard time this \$50,000 would buy. In response, Mr. Adams said it will buy two-thirds of a year; based on the current operating hours of twelve hours per day, six days a week, staff calculated this as a \$75,000 per year price tag. Mr. Robertson commented that it seemed like paying a lot more money than just putting a human body out there. Mr. Adams commented that initially, the intention was to provide convenience after hours and on weekends so people did not have to go down to the main gate. He added that the Board had envisioned moving this to a 24/7 remote program in the future if there were no bugs in the system.

Mr. Brougham recalled that at the last meeting the Joint Boards had approved the purchase and installation of the necessary remote access hardware and software modifications.

On MOTION for CDD # 1 by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Mr. Love, all were in favor of approving the purchase of the additional printer to be stored at TEM's premises for emergency purposes in case of failure of the primary printer.

Ms. Scott asked what the cost would be to repair the printer. Mr. Adams stated this would depend on what the failure is determined to be. He added that according to the manufacturer, there are not many moving parts within the device. Ms. Matire asked what if the equipment sits there not being used then needs to be upgraded to accommodate future technology. In response, Mr. Adams said this will be a piece of equipment the District owns. He was unsure how often technology is upgraded on such ticket spitters. He commented that he has not seen any exterior changes in ticket spitters in parking garages over the years. He commented that there are probably upgrades on interior mechanisms that process

information from computers. He felt that the basic technology to date stamp and spit out tickets probably does not see a lot of continuous upgrade.

Ms. Matire suggested the Board may ask the manufacturer for personnel to work at the gate in a pinch. Mr. Adams said they have the ability to augment the program as this is required as part of the contract.

Further conversation ensued on this topic.

On MOTION for CDD # 2 by Mr. Olson and seconded by Ms. Matire, all were in favor of approving the purchase of the additional printer to be stored at TEM's premises for emergency purposes in case of failure of the primary printer.

Protest Hearing for Landscape Maintenance

Mr. Zampagno advised of the protest hearing procedures. Those who would be testifying were sworn in.

Mr. Santiago, representing Xscape, distributed documents relevant to what he would speak about.

Mr. Zampagno objected to the bid process presentation documents being distributed at this time and stated these documents are prejudicial to the Board. He advised that he has not had enough opportunity to review these documents. He asked that the Board disregard the arguments given in the presentation documents.

Mr. Santiago stated that the documents distributed merely recite the facts of the case; there are some citations but they are public documents. It was stated that some of the timetables are important to note as this concerns a bid protest and the process. Mr. Santiago said he would attempt to show that compliance with statutes concerning competitive bidding was not followed with regard to selection of the ultimate bidder. Mr. Santiago reviewed timetables of note as follows: On October 24th a document was provided advising the Board to recommend a particular firm for landscaping. On October 26th, Xscape received notice that an award had been made to another bidder. On November 2nd a letter went out by U.S. mail advising of the award. He argued that CDD #2 never adopted Chapter 190.03 statutes rules for the awarding of maintenance contracts. He said that between October 26th and November 26th a series of public records requests were sent to the District. He stated that on November 8th he had requested more

public documents from the District with regard to the bid process. He commented on the lack of correspondence and documentation relevant to the bid process. Mr. Santiago raised further concerns about due process and poor compliance with the requisites of competitive bidding.

Mr. Santiago said that it was not until December 17th that any notice in writing was made about why Xscape was deemed non responsive. Further arguments were put forth concerning the RFP and questioning why Xscape was deemed non responsive. Mr. Santiago asked for specific answers as to how Xscape was deemed non responsive. He objected to what he felt was disparate treatment that is contrary to competitive bidding. He said that in his opinion, it is in the best interest of the Districts to throw out all the bids and rebid as the safest course of action.

Mr. Zampagno advised of the scope of inquiry of a bid protest. He advised that per bid specifications, responsive bidders are defined as "anyone submitting a bid that maintains a permanent place of business, has adequate backup equipment to do the work properly and within the time limit, has adequate financial status to meet the obligations for the work." He further advised that the lowest responsible bidder means, "A bid or proposal submitted by responsible person or firm capable and qualified in all respects to perform fully the contract requirements with integrity and liability which are good faith requirements and timely completion in a responsive manner."

Mr. Zampagno said the decision was made by the Board that Xscape was non-responsive. He entered Exhibit J into the record, an outline explaining why Xscape was deemed non-responsive; most importantly because no bid bond, cash surety, cash or bid deposit was ever made. He asked the District Manager to testify whether this is correct.

Mr. Adams testified: "This is correct."

Mr. Zampagno further explained that Xscape was deemed non-responsive because they are not licensed with an occupational or contractor's license. He added that the Tru-Green firm does have occupational licenses, contrary to Mr. Santiago's argument that it does not.

Mr. Zampagno stated that Xscape did not comply with bid specifications or the bid process as outlined. He advised that the bid was awarded to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder, Tru-Green, which complied with all the specifications.

Mr. Zampagno said the burden of proof rests on Xscape to show that the District has acted fraudulently, arbitrarily, illegally or dishonestly in making its decision. He advised the

Board that it could reject all bids and rebid the whole contract or reject the bid protest of Xscape today and go with Tru-Green.

Mr. Santiago asserted that the Board was arbitrary in its review of Xscape's submittal. He briefly restated his arguments and requested that the Boards throw out all bids and rebid.

Mr. Zampagno explained that Xscape does not have a contractor's license in order to do the work required under the contract being bid. He stated that without a contractor's license, it is impossible for Xscape to receive an occupational license for the work.

In response to Mr. Santiago's arguments, Mr. Adams stated that the bid surety was a glaring, missing item. He explained that is important to have the surety as insurance that the contractor will come to the table and execute the contract. If the contractor fails to do this, the surety can be liquidated to cover expenses incurred and potentially cover the expenses of going through the process again. Mr. Adams added that this was the deal breaker in terms of further considerations for this submittal. He asserted that this stipulation was clearly identified in the advertisement, in the bid request package and clearly highlighted at the mandatory pre-bid meeting.

Mr. Santiago asserted that a check was submitted and argued against Xscape being deemed non-responsive.

Mr. Adams stated there was no check from Xscape. He advised that staff had reviewed the bid submittal page by page. He pointed out that if a bid bond or cashier's check was submitted, then Xscape should have a dated receipt showing it was pulled prior to the bid submittal. Mr. Adams pointed out that no copy of this was forthcoming.

It was stated that bid surety was provided by Xscape in the form of a company check as an oversight, without realizing it had to be a certified copy. It was stated that this was written on a J.D. Edwards money market account. It was further stated that Mr. Adams was advised this check was in the submittal packet.

Mr. Adams reiterated that no check was received and stressed that staff had gone through the submittal on two to three occasions. Mr. Adams stated Xscape was deemed non-responsive primarily due to the absence of surety; however, the submittal was found to be deficient in many other areas.

The argument was made that the Board had approved the Tru-Green submittal contingent upon receipt of their updated occupational license. Therefore the same consideration could have been given to Xscape with regard to resubmitting the proper form of surety.

Mr. Zampagno asked the Board to strike comments read aloud by Mr. Santiago.

Further arguments were made on both sides regarding awarding of the contact.

Mr. Adams spoke of various factors staff took into consideration in recommending awarding the contract to Tru-Green. He stressed that staff has gone through the process appropriately within the law and without being arbitrary.

Mr. Olson requested that for future bids, Mr. Adams provide copies of checks and rating sheets. He suggested going forward with the contract as he felt this was in the best interest of the District.

Ms. Scott commented that a party bidding on a contract of this magnitude should know that a certified check would normally be required. She also commented that the process on both sides was sloppy and that a better job could have been done on communication. She felt Xscape should have been considered responsive with the contingency of providing the correct form of payment within two days; however she was reluctant to go through the whole process again.

On MOTION for CDD # 2 by Mr. Olson and seconded by Ms. DiNardo, all were in favor of re-affirming the contract award to Tru-Green.

A brief recess was called at 11:05 a.m.

The meeting was reconvened at 11:15 a.m. for Fiddler's Creek # 1.

Protest Hearing on Lake and Wetland Maintenance.

Mr. Adams advised the full Board for Fiddler's Creek CDD # 1 was present and turned this item over to the District Attorney.

Mr. Zampagno advised that Mr. Santiago had withdrawn his bid protest without prejudice indicating that he may bring this back at a later time. He advised the Board to acknowledge the withdrawal but not to accept the withdrawal. He stated that Xscape does not have the standing to submit this bid protest. He entered a copy of the withdrawal into the record.

On MOTION for CDD # 1 by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Ms. Schmitt, all were in favor of re-affirming the contract for lake and wetland maintenance to Lake Masters.

Mr. Brougham advised that Item 11, the protest hearing, will be moved to 1:30 p.m. in the adjacent meeting room, the Egret Room.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisors' Requests and Audience Comments

There were no requests from the supervisors or comments from the audience.

JOINT MEETING ITEMS

Mr. Adams advised that all Supervisors for CDD #1 and #2 were present.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Discussion on SFWMD Irrigation Restrictions

Mr. Adams advised that the SFWMD voted to implement Phase 3 water restrictions. He indicated copies of the news release were provided to Board members. He stated this information was also in electronic form and can be placed on the respective websites for the Districts. Mr. Adams stated that per SFWMD restrictions, everyone will cut back to watering once a week. Depending on the last digits of addresses, a respective day will be assigned for watering, within two watering windows: 4-8 a.m. or 4-8 p.m.

Mr. Adams said the community's main irrigation system is controlled through a central irrigation computer system managed by Gulf Bay; the only exception to this is the single family units that have individual clocks. Mr. Adams explained that it is difficult to water in the neighborhoods through the central control system based on last digits of addresses. As a result, Gulf Bay will file a variance to SFWMD requesting the opportunity to water on Wednesdays and Thursdays in the window of 12-8 a.m.

It was asked whether the community could take advantage of two different watering slots: 12-8 and 4-12; this would split up one-fourth of the property on Day 1 between 12-8 and one-fourth between 4-8 and the same thing on the following day. It was suggested that this will allow the community to maximize the amount of water allotted.

Mr. Albeit stated that staff is in the process of applying for the variance but will investigate whether this is an option.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Brief discussions regarding District related emails**

Mr. Adams indicated that Board members had received copies of the article in the *Naples Daily News* a week earlier. It was requested that Board members retain emails subject to public record. He said that if Board members are concerned about retaining emails on their own systems, they can copy him; these emails will then go into the WHHA server system for storage.

Mr. Adams suggested that Board members set up a separate email account with "CDD" incorporated into the email address and use that as the email address distributed to the community.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Reconsideration and Approval of Minutes of the October 24, 2007 Joint Board Meeting**

Mr. Brougham re-emphasized that CDD #1 Board members have lobbied heavily for verbatim minutes. He also questioned why minutes from November were missing.

Mr. Adams stated this was a timing issue as the meeting was moved up one week.

Mr. Brougham stressed the importance of seeing minutes at least one month after meetings. He added that if additional expense has to be incurred in order to provide this, then staff can bring this before the Board for consideration.

Mr. Adams said staff will continue to provide greater detail versus true verbatim which is very difficult to follow.

On MOTION for CDD # 1 by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Mr. Love, all were in favor of approving the October 24, 2007 minutes as amended.

On MOTION for CDD # 2 by Ms. Scott and seconded by Ms. Matire, all were in favor of approving the October 24, 2007 minutes as amended.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Consideration and Approval of Minutes
of the November 28, 2007 Joint Board
Meeting**

Minutes were not available for approval.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment – Fiddler's Creek # 2

Mr. Adams advised that Fiddler's Creek #2 meeting can be adjourned and wished everyone Happy Holidays.

There being no further business, the Fiddler's Creek # 2 meeting was adjourned at 2:55 p.m.

FIDDLER'S CREEK CDD # 1 ITEMS

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Protest Hearing for Lake and Wetland
Maintenance**

This item was addressed later in the meeting.

TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Protest Hearing for Landscape
Maintenance**

Mr. Adams advised that this item was moved to 1:30 p.m. and requested that the Board continue this meeting to 1:30 p.m.

Mr. Robertson asked whether Mr. Santiago's comments will be as lengthy in the second protest hearing.

Mr. Brougham stated he was aware of the redundancy. He said he intended to abbreviate comments as everyone sat through the first protest hearing earlier.

Mr. Love advised he would not be able to attend the 1:30p.m. meeting in person but would call in from his car.

THIRTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

Engineer

This item was addressed jointly earlier in the meeting.

Manager

1. Unaudited Financial Statements as of November 30, 2007

2. Annual Continuing Disclosure Report

Mr. Brougham referred to page 1, under General Fund, under Assets and said he did not see any line item saying "due from developer." He commented that there was no record of any assessment received from the developer for the month.

Mr. Brougham commented that the balance sheet shows Total Assets as \$374,710 whereas the previous page shows Total Assets of \$384,609 – which is a difference of \$9,899. He requested that Mr. Adams email the Supervisors with an explanation of this.

Mr. Brougham asked when the true-up report for the last fiscal year will be provided. Mr. Adams advised that staff will email this out.

Mr. Brougham referred to page 3, under Access Control Services, and commented that the District is running well ahead of budget on many expenses. Mr. Adams stated he will run through this section once again.

Mr. Brougham referred to page 8, and commented that there is no asset listed as due from developer, as the assessment for the Wilma fund was not received.

Mr. Brougham referred to page 9, under General Fund 2, and asked what the \$245,365 Operating Transfer was. Mr. Adams advised this was excess funds from the close out of the Sun Trust loan; this was transferred out into the General Fund to offset expenses during the year.

A question was raised about page 3, Landscaping Contingency Fund indicating 156% of planned budget was already spent. Mr. Adams advised that he will check the account detail on this as something might have been misquoted.

FOURTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisors' Requests and Audience Comments

Mr. Robertson thanked Corinne for her service to the CDD.

Boardwalk Repairs. Ms. Crismond stated she had contacted Polcal Construction, the winning bidder for this project to request hard copies of documentation to put together a contract. The bidder responded with a price increase, stating that the bid price originally submitted was quoted incorrectly. She explained that the price was raised because different size composite

materials were required which would cost more money. Ms. Crismond said she had asked Polcal Construction to absorb this error but was told the firm would not proceed as it will not make any money.

The District Attorney was asked whether this bidder could be held to the original price quoted when the contract was awarded.

Mr. Adams stated this was an informal proposal and not a formal bidding process. He suggested going with the other bidder or proceed with Polcal Construction but stipulate the contract is set for a not-to-exceed figure.

Mr. Brougham stated his knee jerk reaction is to tell Polcal Construction thank you very much and award the contract to JTM. He voiced concern that this bidder did not bother to contact the supplier when initially preparing the bid; he said this raises red flags about the quality of work this firm will provide.

Ms. Schmitt asked what size material JTM specified in their proposal. Ms. Crismond replied that for composites, it had to be 2x4 and 2x6. She added that JTM had submitted their proposal according to the guidelines after doing their homework.

On MOTION by Mr. Love and seconded by Mr. Brougham, all were in favor of awarding the contract to JTM.

Conversation followed on the dimensions being proposed for the hand rail. Ms. Crismond explained that the bidder is proposing to use 2x6 and 2x4 material side by side.

It was requested that staff review this and finalize the color.

The motion was withdrawn.

Directional Signage. Ms. Crismond stated there are 9 signs with bolted paddles and 12 signs with welded paddles. The signs with welded paddles have to go back to the shop which will cost \$400 each. Mr. Adams advised staff has spent \$7,640 to get new inserts in all the signs.

Mr. Brougham commented that complaints have been ongoing about the direction of the signs. He recommended incurring the additional cost to have the signage pointing the right way.

On MOTION for CDD #1 by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Mr. Love, all except Supervisor Robertson, were in favor of approving the contract to address changing directional signs for improved viewing, for the additional cost of \$6,600.

Mr. Robertson commented that street signs in Naples appear to follow a universal convention where the sign points into the street and not out in the street.

Lake Fountains. Ms. Crismond stated this is an ongoing item. Mr. Adams advised that staff is still pursuing quotes.

Lake Maintenance. Ms. Crismond indicated that specifics on lakes that have been identified for plantings.

Mr. Adams advised that The Rookery intends to enter into a contract with Lake Masters so there will be consistency with the District managing one side of the lake and The Rookery managing the other side.

Landscaping. Ms. Crismond advised that staff just completed replacing palms. She explained that one royal palm was installed at the wrong size and will be replaced.

It was pointed out that this palm at Venetta was in CDD #2.

Stake Removal. Ms. Crismond advised that the lowest price was received from Stiles Landscaping at \$425 per tree including disposal, for a total cost of \$15,920.

Mr. Brougham voiced concern that the District had to pay to put the braces on, pay for the lumber, and pay to have the braces taken off. Mr. Adams stated that the firm is not interested in the used 2x4s so they will not give the District any credit for the lumber.

Mr. Adams pointed out that the lumber is not treated and started rotting once the first raindrop hit.

Lift Station. Ms. Crismond advised that she and Mr. Love had both met with Jim, the senior field supervisor of Wastewater Collections. She advised that as soon as she can get the specifications for the project, she will send them over for his approval; they will also be forwarded to the Foundation to have this project approved.

FHP Patrol. Ms. Crismond advised that the Florida Highway Patrol will commence patrol later in the month and will be submitting activity reports. For every two warnings they give, they will give two citations.

Mr. Brougham commented that unmarked cars will be more effective.

Ficus Hedge Trimming. Ms. Crismond stated the ficus hedge on Montrose is extremely tall. One Source had started trimming this but they do not understand whether the trees should be between 8 and 10 feet or should be a little taller.

Mr. Brougham said that whatever staff decided will be fine.

It was commented that most communities had ficus hedges of about 10 feet.

Bob Slater, a resident, suggested that residents be advised as a warning that state troopers will be patrolling the community instead of having residents find out the hard way.

Mr. Brougham suggested that Mr. Albeit advise residents that both CDD Boards are continuing to enforce traffic rules.

FIFTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment – Fiddler's Creek # 1

There being no further business, the meeting was continued to 1:30 p.m.

Protest Hearing for Landscape Maintenance

The meeting was reconvened at 1:30 p.m. Mr. Adams advised that Supervisors Curland, Brougham and Schmitt were present in person and that Supervisor Love was attending by telephone.

Mr. Brougham stated some of the same testimony would be heard even though this concerns a separate protest. Mr. Brougham gave each party's attorney five minutes for an opening statement. Mr. Brougham advised of the rules for the protest hearing.

All those who would be testifying were sworn in.

[Mr. Robertson joined the meeting via telephone.]

Mr. Santiago advised that his presentation would raise concerns about the bid process. He stated he wished to adopt the earlier protest presentation for the record.

Mr. Adams questioned whether it is appropriate to adopt the earlier presentation into the CDD # 1 record as it concerned facts and testimony for a different district.

Mr. Pires advised that this is inappropriate as this protest involves a separate entity, a separate proceeding, a separate contract and a separate deliberation and separate questions of fact. Mr. Adams concurred.

Mr. Pires stated the scope of inquiry concerned whether competitive bidding was subverted. Mr. Pires gave opening arguments asserting that the District did not operate fraudulently, arbitrarily, illegally or dishonestly in disqualifying Xscape's bid. Mr. Pires entered into the record a memorandum dated December 17, 2007 and attachments sent via email to Mr. Santiago relevant to this issue.

Mr. Pires advised that the Board can reject the bid protest or reject all bids and re-advertise for rebid.

Mr. Santiago stated he was arguing against an arbitrary bid process through which Xscape was deemed an unresponsive bidder. He indicated he had a copy of a check submitted by Xscape as bond surety.

It was requested that Mr. Santiago simply present facts of the case.

Mr. Santiago indicated that Mr. Gregory Jenkins, the corporate representative for Xscape was present to speak on behalf of the company. In response to Mr. Santiago, Mr. Jenkins acknowledged that he recognized a copy of the check #2057 submitted by Xscape with its bid package. He stated that the amount of the check was \$44,830.60, or 5 percent of the overall bid both for CDD 1 and CDD 2. In response to Mr. Santiago, Mr. Jenkins acknowledged Exhibit C, a memorandum stating Xscape was deemed to be non-responsive.

Mr. Santiago referred to Exhibit J, a document identifying deficiencies in Xscape's bid. Mr. Santiago asked Mr. Jenkins if One Source complied with all the bid requirements. Mr. Jenkins asserted that the occupation license for One Source was expired for ten months prior to bid submittal. He then asserted that One Source basically submitted a fraudulent license.

Mr. Pires commented that at the time the intent to file a protest was voiced, Mr. Jenkins was employed by One Source on October 26, 2007. He asserted that this was relevant as to Mr. Jenkins' credibility.

Mr. Brougham asked if there were prior documents presented from existing public records at the time of the bid submittal. It was responded that there were.

Mr. Pires asked Mr. Jenkins if he had a chance to look at Exhibit K and asked if he is aware that Exhibit K reflects that the occupational license for Xscape is for a home occupation. Mr. Pires asked where Xscape stored its equipment. Mr. Jenkins stated the equipment is stored at "that location and multiple others." Mr. Pires asked what type of equipment is stored. Mr. Jenkins responded that "all types of machinery, vehicles and parts." Mr. Pires said the occupational license said "No contractor work." Mr. Jenkins commented that it says, "Maintenance." Mr. Pires said that Xscape is not allowed to be engaged as a contractor.

Mr. Jenkins disagreed. He raised the question: "Are we allowed to go to a client and say we can provide a service of landscape maintenance for your property? If they say yes, then we will sign a contract for the purpose of providing landscape maintenance, yes, we are allowed to."

Cross examination followed with additional arguments and evidence brought forth by both parties.

Mr. Pires argued that Xscape does not have the appropriate contractor's or occupational license that allows them to perform in this contract role.

Mr. Jenkins stated that his argument is, at the time of the bid, One Source did not have a license, but the Board made an exception to this and waived this requirement to allow One Source an opportunity to obtain that license prior to commencement of the contract. He commented that if Xscape's license was not found to be appropriate, they should have received the same treatment. He added that if you look at all the contracts submitted, this bid protest announcement is very narrow because Xscape did not have all the documents and had not received them until Monday. All the documents to file the protest were prepared with no information. He reiterated that the license submitted by One Source in the bid package was expired and was not theirs. He asserted that the license Xscape submitted in the bid package was an official license from Collier County for landscape maintenance, which can be verified through the code on the license. He argued that Xscape was treated differently than the other company involved.

Mr. Pires asked Mr. Adams address the issue of the public records request.

Mr. Adams testified as follows: Initially we received numerous notices of intent to protest from a couple of attorneys representing Mr. Jenkins. As a follow up, staff scheduled a specific time for these attorneys to come and look at the documents being requested. Those documents were made available on November 8. At that time, we were made aware of documents they wanted marked as part of the public records request. As part of this process, staff is allowed to provide copies for a cost. Staff went through all the pages and determined the cost of copies. The amount needed was provided. Within a few days the copies were available in our office. Mr. Adams stated I was not present when they did actually come by to pick up the documents but it is my understanding -- Ms. Crismond would better answer this more specifically -- I believe it was one week or ten days ago that they actually did come by, presented a money order to offset those costs and were handed the package already previously copied.

Mr. Pires asked Mr. Adams if the documents were available for pick up within the last two weeks but were just picked up within the last week. Mr. Adams responded affirmatively. Mr.

Pires asked if there was any impediment to the attorneys for Xscape picking up the records 3-4 weeks ago. Mr. Adams responded, "Not at all."

Further questioning followed regarding dates relevant to provision of public records.

Mr. Pires asked Mr. Adams if based on his knowledge of county requirements, the maintenance services occupational license presented by Xscape sufficient for them to perform the landscape service for Fiddler's Creek pursuant to bid specifications. Mr. Adams responded, "In my experience, no."

Additional cross examination followed.

Mr. Santiago asked if Mr. Adams reviewed the bids after they were submitted. Mr. Adams responded, "Personally, no." He testified that the person who reviewed those documents was not present at the meeting and no longer with his firm.

Mr. Santiago asked Mr. Adams if he has personal knowledge of the selection criteria to determine the lowest responsible bidder. Mr. Adams responded, "Yes, I do." He also said that the specific criteria reviewed is contained in writing in the bid package. However there is no written document outlining the tabulation process.

Mr. Pires restated the rules of procedure for the bid protest.

Mr. Brougham asked if there were any further questions specifically addressing the cash deposit and the One Source license.

Mr. Santiago asked Mr. Adams if he understood that One Source had a valid occupational license at the time of the bid submittal. Mr. Adams responded, "No."

Mr. Santiago asked Mr. Adams if One Source had a valid contractor's license at the time of the bid submittal. Mr. Adams responded, "Yes." He stated he has a copy of this.

Mr. Santiago asked Mr. Adams if Xscape also had a valid occupational license at the time of the bid submittal. Mr. Adams responded, "It was not included in the package that we reviewed. I don't have direct personal knowledge of that. I was advised we didn't have that."

Mr. Brougham objected to implications that there was an alteration to documents presented in the bid package and to implications that there is a difference between what was presented and what everyone was currently seeing. He commented that a lot of facts and testimony has been heard and that the Board has a clear understanding as to what the issues are in the bid protest.

Mr. Pires asked Mr. Adams if he looked thought the bid package submitted by Xscape to ascertain whether any check was sent with the landscape bid package for Fiddler's Creek #1. Mr. Adams replied, "I was not there when it was opened. I was not there when it was reviewed for the purpose of creating the recommendation award memo. I have looked at the documents as a follow up and my understanding was that the check was not there when it was opened; the check was not there when it was reviewed. It was looked at several times to ensure that it wasn't hidden somewhere in that package, overlooked by Ms. Norton, and I understand in a follow up conversation with Ms. Crismond, following this morning's bid protest hearing, that she also reviewed the package and a bid surety was not located.

Mr. Brougham said he found it hard to understand how a judgement call made by the Board can be challenged. He said he appreciated Xscape's position but pointed out that the Board is entitled to make this call. He pointed out that there is business to take care of for the best interest of the residents. He did not want to incur added expenses sitting arguing both sides of the issue. He said that in his opinion, it was a very simple matter than Xscape's bid proposal was incomplete or deficient. He felt the topic was beaten to death and wished to move forward and make a decision.

Mr. Pires stated the Board can choose to reject all bids and rebid the project or reject the bid protest and reaffirm the award of contract to One Source.

Mr. Brougham stated that to his recollection the contract was awarded to One Source on the condition that they obtain all necessary licenses prior to commencement of the contract. He commented that as all bids were already public record, it is ludicrous to rebid.

Closing statements were made by both parties.

On MOTION for Fiddler's Creek #1 by Mr. Brougham and seconded by Ms. Schmitt, all voted in favor of 1) rejecting the bid protest from Xscape; 2) reaffirming that the bid filed by Xscape was non-responsive in many material ways and 3) reaffirming the award of the contract to One Source.

There being no further business, the protest hearing concluded at 2:55 p.m.

For Fiddler's Creek #1:

Secretary/Assistant Secretary

Chairman/Vice Chairman

For Fiddler's Creek #2:

Secretary/Assistant Secretary

Chairman/Vice Chairman



Wratbell, Hart, Hunt and Associates, LLC

Building client relationships one step at a time...

MEMORANDUM

Date: *December 3, 2007*

To: *Board of Supervisors*

From: *Jesse Jackson*
Staff Accountant

Subject: *Fiddler's Creek # 1 Community Development District*
Annual Continuing Disclosure Report

Enclosed please find the Annual Continuing Disclosure Report for Fiddler's Creek # 1 Community Development District. This report was completed in compliance with the Continuing Disclosure Agreement found in the Trust Indenture for Fiddler's Creek # 1 Community Development District. The Annual Continuing Disclosure Report details the financial condition of the bond-related funds established on behalf of the District and the developmental status of the project.

There is no action required. This report is being provided for your reference.

FIDDLER'S CREEK # 1 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

Annual Continuing Disclosure Report
For the Fiscal Period October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007



Wrathell, Hart, Hunt and Associates, LLC
Building client relationships one step at a time...

Provided by:

Wrathell, Hart, Hunt and Associates, LLC

6131 Lyons Road, Suite 100

Coconut Creek, FL 33073

Phone: 954-426-2105

Fax: 954-426-2147

Website: www.whhassociates.com



Wrathell, Hart, Hunt and Associates, LLC

Building client relationships one step at a time...

Table of Contents

Purpose, Executive Summary & Required Reporting Institutions	1
Annual Information	2
Annual Information Continued	3
Annual Information Continued / Significant Events	4
Significant Events Continued	5
Developer's Quarterly Information	6
Developer's Quarterly Information Continued	7
Developer's Quarterly Information Continued	8
Series 1999 A Amortization Schedule	9
Series 1999 B Amortization Schedule	10
Series 2002 A Amortization Schedule	11
Series 2002 A Amortization Schedule Continued	12
Series 2002 B Amortization Schedule	13
Series 2002 B Amortization Schedule Continued	14
Series 2005 Amortization Schedule	15
Series 2005 Amortization Schedule Continued	16
Series 2006 Amortization Schedule	17



Wrathell, Hart, Hunt and Associates, LLC
Building client relationships one step at a time...

FIDDLER'S CREEK # 1 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

ANNUAL CONTINUING DISCLOSURE REPORT For the Fiscal Period October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007

PURPOSE & EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to fulfill the requirements of the Agreement between Fiddler's Creek #1 Community Development District, (the "Issuer"), 951 Land Holdings, Ltd., (the "Developer") and U.S. Bank, National Association (formerly SunTrust Bank, National Association), (the "Trustee") in order to comply with Rule 15c-212 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Rule"), and is for the benefit of the holders and beneficial owners of the bonds.

The Issuer has retained the services of *Wrathell, Hart, Hunt and Associates, LLC*, (the "Dissemination Agent"), a firm specializing in the management of community development and special taxing districts. Additional information about the firm may be accessed via the world wide web at www.whhassociates.com.

Building client relationships one step at a time...

REQUIRED REPORTING INSTITUTIONS

The Issuer's annual information will be provided to all Nationally Recognized Municipal Securities Information Repositories, (the "NRMSIRs"). As of the date of this report, the current NRMSIRs are as follows:

Bloomberg Municipal Repository

DPC Data, Inc.

FT Interactive Data

Standard & Poor's Securities Evaluations, Inc.



Wrathell, Hart, Hunt and Associates, LLC

Building client relationships one step at a time...

**FIDDLER'S CREEK # 1
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

**ANNUAL CONTINUING DISCLOSURE REPORT
For the Fiscal Period October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007**

SERIES 1999, 2002, 2005 & 2006 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT REVENUE BONDS

ANNUAL INFORMATION

The Issuer's annual information is identified in the Continuing Disclosure Agreement, specifically Section 4, and consists of the following:

Audited Financial Statements:

At this time, the audited financial statements for the most recent Fiscal Year, ending September 30, 2007, are in the process of being completed. Upon completion, the statements will be provided to all NRMSIRs.

Series Assessments Levied on Project Lands:

<u>Series 1999:</u>	<u>As of September 30, 2007</u>
Assessments Certified to Tax Collector	\$1,021,949.76*
<u>Series 2002:</u>	
Assessments Certified to Tax Collector	\$0
<u>Series 2005:</u>	
Assessments Certified to Tax Collector	\$0
<u>Series 2006 (formerly 1996):</u>	
Assessments Certified to Tax Collector	\$921,339.99*

*Early Payment Discounts and Tax Collector Fees Have Not Been Deducted



**FIDDLER'S CREEK # 1
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

**ANNUAL CONTINUING DISCLOSURE REPORT
For the Fiscal Period October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007**

Series Assessments Collected on the Project Lands:

<u>Series 1999:</u>	<u>As of September 30, 2007</u>
Resident Assessments	\$963,642.50
Developer Assessments	\$150,736.11
 <u>Series 2002:</u>	
Resident Assessments	\$0
Developer Assessments	\$1,150,646.39
 <u>Series 2005:</u>	
Resident Assessments	\$0
Developer Assessments	\$0
 <u>Series 2006 (formerly 1996):</u>	
Resident Assessments	\$909,238.93
Developer Assessments	\$0

Series Assessments Delinquent on the Project Lands:

The delinquent series assessments on the Project Lands are not available; however, please note the Issuer collected sufficient, if not all, funds for the Fiscal Year ending September 30, 2007 to cover all debt service on the Series 1999 and 2006 bonds.

Dollar Amount of Tax Certificates Sold on the Project Lands:

The dollar amount of tax certificates sold on the Project Lands is not available; however, please note the Issuer collected sufficient, if not all, funds for the Fiscal Year ending September 30, 2007 to cover all debt service on the Series 1999 and 2006 bonds.



Wrathell, Hart, Hunt and Associates, LLC

Building client relationships one step at a time...

FIDDLER'S CREEK # 1 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

ANNUAL CONTINUING DISCLOSURE REPORT For the Fiscal Period October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007

Debt Service Schedule on the Series Bonds:

Please find the debt service schedules for the remaining terms of the Series 1999, 2002, 2005 and 2006 bonds at the end of this report.

Percentage of Infrastructure Improvements Completed:

Series 1999:	100%
Series 2002:	98%
Series 2005:	31%
Series 2006:	100%

The percentage completed was calculated using the funds remaining in the construction account in comparison to deposits of bond proceeds into the construction account.

Materially Adverse Changes or Determinations in Permits / Approvals:

There are no materially adverse changes or determinations in permits or approvals.

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

In addition to the provisions of Section 4 of the Continuing Disclosure Agreement, the Issuer is required to provide notice, on a timely basis, of any of the following events:

- Principal and interest payment delinquencies on the Bonds
- The occurrence of any Event of Default under the Indenture
- Unscheduled draws on a debt service reserve fund reflecting financial difficulties
- Unscheduled draws on credit enhancement reflecting financial difficulties



Wrathell, Hart, Hunt and Associates, LLC
Building client relationships one step at a time...

**FIDDLER'S CREEK # 1
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

**ANNUAL CONTINUING DISCLOSURE REPORT
For the Fiscal Period October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007**

- Substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or failure to perform
- Adverse tax opinions or events affecting the tax-exempt status of the Bonds
- Any modification to the rights of Bondholders
- Calls on the Bonds (other than mandatory sinking fund or extraordinary redemption)
- Defeasance of the Bonds
- Release, substitution, or sale of any item of the Series 2000 or Series 2003 Trust Estate
- Rating changes
- Notice of any failure on the part of the Issuer to meet the requirements of Section 4 of the Continuing Disclosure Agreement

There are no significant reporting events



Wrathell, Hart, Hunt and Associates, LLC
Building client relationships one step at a time...

**FIDDLER'S CREEK # 1
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

**ANNUAL CONTINUING DISCLOSURE REPORT
For the Fiscal Period October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007**

SERIES 1999, 2002, 2005 & 2006 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT REVENUE BONDS

**DEVELOPER'S QUARTERLY INFORMATION
For the Fiscal Period July 1, 2007 to September 30, 2007**

For All Project Lands:

Single Family Lots

Estimation of total number of lots expected to be included within the
Development upon full build-out;
982

Number of lots sold / parcels (closed) to persons or entities in the business
of building or developing homes;
172

Number of lots sold (closed) to persons or entities that are not Builders;
281

Number of homes (whether or not occupied) for which certificates of
completion or certificates of occupancy have been issued;
471

Number of Completed Homes owned by Non-Builders
469

Number of Completed Homes for sale by Builders
2

Multi-Family Units



Wrathell, Hart, Hunt and Associates, LLC
Building client relationships one step at a time...

**FIDDLER'S CREEK # 1
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

**ANNUAL CONTINUING DISCLOSURE REPORT
For the Fiscal Period October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007**

Estimation of total number of units expected to be included within the
Development upon full build-out;
780

Number of acres sold (closed) to Builders;
-

Number of units sold to Non-builders;
780

Number of units for which certificates of completion or certificates of
occupancy have been issued which are occupied;
780

Number of Completed Units for sale by Builders
-

Number of Completed Units for sale by Non-Builders
-

Commercial Space

Estimation of total numbers of acres of commercial land expected to be
included within the Development upon full build-out;
38

Acreage of commercial land sold (closed) by the Developer;
12

Acreage of commercial land under agreement (sold but not closed) for sale
by the Developer;



Wrathell, Hart, Hunt and Associates, LLC
Building client relationships one step at a time...

**FIDDLER'S CREEK # 1
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

**ANNUAL CONTINUING DISCLOSURE REPORT
For the Fiscal Period October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007**

Materially Adverse Changes or Determinations in Permits / Approvals:

There were no materially adverse changes or determinations in permits / approvals for the Development that necessitate changes in the Developer's use plan.

Fiddler's Creek # 1

Community Development District

Series 1999 A

\$9,305,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Int. Rate	Interest	Total P+I
11/01/2007	-	-	-	-
05/01/2008	355,000.00	5.875%	220,165.63	575,165.63
11/01/2008	-	-	209,737.50	209,737.50
05/01/2009	375,000.00	5.875%	209,737.50	584,737.50
11/01/2009	-	-	198,721.88	198,721.88
05/01/2010	400,000.00	5.875%	198,721.88	598,721.88
11/01/2010	-	-	186,971.88	186,971.88
05/01/2011	425,000.00	5.875%	186,971.88	611,971.88
11/01/2011	-	-	174,487.50	174,487.50
05/01/2012	450,000.00	5.875%	174,487.50	624,487.50
11/01/2012	-	-	161,268.75	161,268.75
05/01/2013	475,000.00	5.875%	161,268.75	636,268.75
11/01/2013	-	-	147,315.63	147,315.63
05/01/2014	505,000.00	5.875%	147,315.63	652,315.63
11/01/2014	-	-	132,481.25	132,481.25
05/01/2015	535,000.00	5.875%	132,481.25	667,481.25
11/01/2015	-	-	116,765.63	116,765.63
05/01/2016	570,000.00	5.875%	116,765.63	686,765.63
11/01/2016	-	-	100,021.88	100,021.88
05/01/2017	605,000.00	5.875%	100,021.88	705,021.88
11/01/2017	-	-	82,250.00	82,250.00
05/01/2018	640,000.00	5.875%	82,250.00	722,250.00
11/01/2018	-	-	63,450.00	63,450.00
05/01/2019	680,000.00	5.875%	63,450.00	743,450.00
11/01/2019	-	-	43,475.00	43,475.00
05/01/2020	720,000.00	5.875%	43,475.00	763,475.00
11/01/2020	-	-	22,325.00	22,325.00
05/01/2021	760,000.00	5.875%	22,325.00	782,325.00
Total	\$7,495,000.00	-	\$3,498,709.43	\$10,993,709.43

Fiddler's Creek # 1

Community Development District

Series 1999 B

\$7,940,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Int. Rate	Interest	Total P+I
11/01/2007	-	-	-	-
05/01/2008	120,000.00	5.800%	71,485.00	191,485.00
11/01/2008	-	-	68,005.00	68,005.00
05/01/2009	125,000.00	5.800%	68,005.00	193,005.00
11/01/2009	-	-	64,380.00	64,380.00
05/01/2010	130,000.00	5.800%	64,380.00	194,380.00
11/01/2010	-	-	60,610.00	60,610.00
05/01/2011	140,000.00	5.800%	60,610.00	200,610.00
11/01/2011	-	-	56,550.00	56,550.00
05/01/2012	150,000.00	5.800%	56,550.00	206,550.00
11/01/2012	-	-	52,200.00	52,200.00
05/01/2013	155,000.00	5.800%	52,200.00	207,200.00
11/01/2013	-	-	47,705.00	47,705.00
05/01/2014	165,000.00	5.800%	47,705.00	212,705.00
11/01/2014	-	-	42,920.00	42,920.00
05/01/2015	175,000.00	5.800%	42,920.00	217,920.00
11/01/2015	-	-	37,845.00	37,845.00
05/01/2016	185,000.00	5.800%	37,845.00	222,845.00
11/01/2016	-	-	32,480.00	32,480.00
05/01/2017	200,000.00	5.800%	32,480.00	232,480.00
11/01/2017	-	-	26,680.00	26,680.00
05/01/2018	210,000.00	5.800%	26,680.00	236,680.00
11/01/2018	-	-	20,590.00	20,590.00
05/01/2019	225,000.00	5.800%	20,590.00	245,590.00
11/01/2019	-	-	14,065.00	14,065.00
05/01/2020	235,000.00	5.800%	14,065.00	249,065.00
11/01/2020	-	-	7,250.00	7,250.00
05/01/2021	250,000.00	5.800%	7,250.00	257,250.00
Total	\$2,465,000.00	-	\$1,134,045.00	\$3,599,045.00

Fiddler's Creek # 1

Community Development District

Series 2002 A

\$10,120,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Int. Rate	Interest	Total P+I
11/01/2007	-	-	-	-
05/01/2008	140,000.00	6.875%	331,890.63	471,890.63
11/01/2008	-	-	327,078.13	327,078.13
05/01/2009	150,000.00	6.875%	327,078.13	477,078.13
11/01/2009	-	-	321,921.88	321,921.88
05/01/2010	160,000.00	6.875%	321,921.88	481,921.88
11/01/2010	-	-	316,421.88	316,421.88
05/01/2011	170,000.00	6.875%	316,421.88	486,421.88
11/01/2011	-	-	310,578.13	310,578.13
05/01/2012	180,000.00	6.875%	310,578.13	490,578.13
11/01/2012	-	-	304,390.63	304,390.63
05/01/2013	195,000.00	6.875%	304,390.63	499,390.63
11/01/2013	-	-	297,687.50	297,687.50
05/01/2014	210,000.00	6.875%	297,687.50	507,687.50
11/01/2014	-	-	290,468.75	290,468.75
05/01/2015	225,000.00	6.875%	290,468.75	515,468.75
11/01/2015	-	-	282,734.38	282,734.38
05/01/2016	240,000.00	6.875%	282,734.38	522,734.38
11/01/2016	-	-	274,484.38	274,484.38
05/01/2017	255,000.00	6.875%	274,484.38	529,484.38
11/01/2017	-	-	265,718.75	265,718.75
05/01/2018	275,000.00	6.875%	265,718.75	540,718.75
11/01/2018	-	-	256,265.63	256,265.63
05/01/2019	295,000.00	6.875%	256,265.63	551,265.63
11/01/2019	-	-	246,125.00	246,125.00
05/01/2020	315,000.00	6.875%	246,125.00	561,125.00
11/01/2020	-	-	235,296.88	235,296.88
05/01/2021	340,000.00	6.875%	235,296.88	575,296.88
11/01/2021	-	-	223,609.38	223,609.38
05/01/2022	360,000.00	6.875%	223,609.38	583,609.38
11/01/2022	-	-	211,234.38	211,234.38
05/01/2023	385,000.00	6.875%	211,234.38	596,234.38
11/01/2023	-	-	198,000.00	198,000.00
05/01/2024	415,000.00	6.875%	198,000.00	613,000.00
11/01/2024	-	-	183,734.38	183,734.38
05/01/2025	445,000.00	6.875%	183,734.38	628,734.38
11/01/2025	-	-	168,437.50	168,437.50
05/01/2026	475,000.00	6.875%	168,437.50	643,437.50
11/01/2026	-	-	152,109.38	152,109.38
05/01/2027	510,000.00	6.875%	152,109.38	662,109.38
11/01/2027	-	-	134,578.13	134,578.13
05/01/2028	545,000.00	6.875%	134,578.13	679,578.13
11/01/2028	-	-	115,843.75	115,843.75
05/01/2029	585,000.00	6.875%	115,843.75	700,843.75

Fiddler's Creek # 1

Community Development District

Series 2002 A

\$10,120,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Int. Rate	Interest	Total P+I
11/01/2029	-	-	95,734.38	95,734.38
05/01/2030	625,000.00	6.875%	95,734.38	720,734.38
11/01/2030	-	-	74,250.00	74,250.00
05/01/2031	670,000.00	6.875%	74,250.00	744,250.00
11/01/2031	-	-	51,218.75	51,218.75
05/01/2032	720,000.00	6.875%	51,218.75	771,218.75
11/01/2032	-	-	26,468.75	26,468.75
05/01/2033	770,000.00	6.875%	26,468.75	796,468.75
Total	\$9,655,000.00	-	\$11,060,672.03	\$20,715,672.03

Fiddler's Creek # 1

Community Development District

Series 2002 B

\$5,330,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Int. Rate	Interest	Total P+I
11/01/2007	-	-	-	-
05/01/2008	75,000.00	6.625%	168,109.38	243,109.38
11/01/2008	-	-	165,625.00	165,625.00
05/01/2009	80,000.00	6.625%	165,625.00	245,625.00
11/01/2009	-	-	162,975.00	162,975.00
05/01/2010	85,000.00	6.625%	162,975.00	247,975.00
11/01/2010	-	-	160,159.38	160,159.38
05/01/2011	90,000.00	6.625%	160,159.38	250,159.38
11/01/2011	-	-	157,178.13	157,178.13
05/01/2012	100,000.00	6.625%	157,178.13	257,178.13
11/01/2012	-	-	153,865.63	153,865.63
05/01/2013	105,000.00	6.625%	153,865.63	258,865.63
11/01/2013	-	-	150,387.50	150,387.50
05/01/2014	115,000.00	6.625%	150,387.50	265,387.50
11/01/2014	-	-	146,578.13	146,578.13
05/01/2015	120,000.00	6.625%	146,578.13	266,578.13
11/01/2015	-	-	142,603.13	142,603.13
05/01/2016	130,000.00	6.625%	142,603.13	272,603.13
11/01/2016	-	-	138,296.88	138,296.88
05/01/2017	135,000.00	6.625%	138,296.88	273,296.88
11/01/2017	-	-	133,825.00	133,825.00
05/01/2018	145,000.00	6.625%	133,825.00	278,825.00
11/01/2018	-	-	129,021.88	129,021.88
05/01/2019	155,000.00	6.625%	129,021.88	284,021.88
11/01/2019	-	-	123,887.50	123,887.50
05/01/2020	170,000.00	6.625%	123,887.50	293,887.50
11/01/2020	-	-	118,256.25	118,256.25
05/01/2021	180,000.00	6.625%	118,256.25	298,256.25
11/01/2021	-	-	112,293.75	112,293.75
05/01/2022	190,000.00	6.625%	112,293.75	302,293.75
11/01/2022	-	-	106,000.00	106,000.00
05/01/2023	205,000.00	6.625%	106,000.00	311,000.00
11/01/2023	-	-	99,209.38	99,209.38
05/01/2024	220,000.00	6.625%	99,209.38	319,209.38
11/01/2024	-	-	91,921.88	91,921.88
05/01/2025	235,000.00	6.625%	91,921.88	326,921.88
11/01/2025	-	-	84,137.50	84,137.50
05/01/2026	250,000.00	6.625%	84,137.50	334,137.50
11/01/2026	-	-	75,856.25	75,856.25
05/01/2027	265,000.00	6.625%	75,856.25	340,856.25
11/01/2027	-	-	67,078.13	67,078.13
05/01/2028	285,000.00	6.625%	67,078.13	352,078.13
11/01/2028	-	-	57,637.50	57,637.50
05/01/2029	305,000.00	6.625%	57,637.50	362,637.50

Fiddler's Creek # 1

Community Development District

Series 2002 B

\$5,330,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Int. Rate	Interest	Total P+I
11/01/2029	-	-	47,534.38	47,534.38
05/01/2030	325,000.00	6.625%	47,534.38	372,534.38
11/01/2030	-	-	36,768.75	36,768.75
05/01/2031	345,000.00	6.625%	36,768.75	381,768.75
11/01/2031	-	-	25,340.63	25,340.63
05/01/2032	370,000.00	6.625%	25,340.63	395,340.63
11/01/2032	-	-	13,084.38	13,084.38
05/01/2033	395,000.00	6.625%	13,084.38	408,084.38
Total	\$5,075,000.00	-	\$5,567,153.26	\$10,642,153.26

Fiddler's Creek # 1

Community Development District

Series 2005

\$18,095,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Int. Rate	Interest	Total P+I
11/01/2007	-	-	-	-
05/01/2008	-	-	542,850.00	542,850.00
11/01/2008	-	-	542,850.00	542,850.00
05/01/2009	230,000.00	6.000%	542,850.00	772,850.00
11/01/2009	-	-	535,950.00	535,950.00
05/01/2010	240,000.00	6.000%	535,950.00	775,950.00
11/01/2010	-	-	528,750.00	528,750.00
05/01/2011	255,000.00	6.000%	528,750.00	783,750.00
11/01/2011	-	-	521,100.00	521,100.00
05/01/2012	275,000.00	6.000%	521,100.00	796,100.00
11/01/2012	-	-	512,850.00	512,850.00
05/01/2013	290,000.00	6.000%	512,850.00	802,850.00
11/01/2013	-	-	504,150.00	504,150.00
05/01/2014	310,000.00	6.000%	504,150.00	814,150.00
11/01/2014	-	-	494,850.00	494,850.00
05/01/2015	325,000.00	6.000%	494,850.00	819,850.00
11/01/2015	-	-	485,100.00	485,100.00
05/01/2016	345,000.00	6.000%	485,100.00	830,100.00
11/01/2016	-	-	474,750.00	474,750.00
05/01/2017	365,000.00	6.000%	474,750.00	839,750.00
11/01/2017	-	-	463,800.00	463,800.00
05/01/2018	385,000.00	6.000%	463,800.00	848,800.00
11/01/2018	-	-	452,250.00	452,250.00
05/01/2019	410,000.00	6.000%	452,250.00	862,250.00
11/01/2019	-	-	439,950.00	439,950.00
05/01/2020	435,000.00	6.000%	439,950.00	874,950.00
11/01/2020	-	-	426,900.00	426,900.00
05/01/2021	460,000.00	6.000%	426,900.00	886,900.00
11/01/2021	-	-	413,100.00	413,100.00
05/01/2022	490,000.00	6.000%	413,100.00	903,100.00
11/01/2022	-	-	398,400.00	398,400.00
05/01/2023	515,000.00	6.000%	398,400.00	913,400.00
11/01/2023	-	-	382,950.00	382,950.00
05/01/2024	550,000.00	6.000%	382,950.00	932,950.00
11/01/2024	-	-	366,450.00	366,450.00
05/01/2025	580,000.00	6.000%	366,450.00	946,450.00
11/01/2025	-	-	349,050.00	349,050.00
05/01/2026	615,000.00	6.000%	349,050.00	964,050.00
11/01/2026	-	-	330,600.00	330,600.00
05/01/2027	655,000.00	6.000%	330,600.00	985,600.00
11/01/2027	-	-	310,950.00	310,950.00
05/01/2028	695,000.00	6.000%	310,950.00	1,005,950.00
11/01/2028	-	-	290,100.00	290,100.00
05/01/2029	730,000.00	6.000%	290,100.00	1,020,100.00

Fiddler's Creek # 1

Community Development District

Series 2005

\$18,095,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Int. Rate	Interest	Total P+I
11/01/2029	-	-	268,200.00	268,200.00
05/01/2030	780,000.00	6.000%	268,200.00	1,048,200.00
11/01/2030	-	-	244,800.00	244,800.00
05/01/2031	825,000.00	6.000%	244,800.00	1,069,800.00
11/01/2031	-	-	220,050.00	220,050.00
05/01/2032	875,000.00	6.000%	220,050.00	1,095,050.00
11/01/2032	-	-	193,800.00	193,800.00
05/01/2033	925,000.00	6.000%	193,800.00	1,118,800.00
11/01/2033	-	-	166,050.00	166,050.00
05/01/2034	980,000.00	6.000%	166,050.00	1,146,050.00
11/01/2034	-	-	136,650.00	136,650.00
05/01/2035	1,040,000.00	6.000%	136,650.00	1,176,650.00
11/01/2035	-	-	105,450.00	105,450.00
05/01/2036	1,105,000.00	6.000%	105,450.00	1,210,450.00
11/01/2036	-	-	72,300.00	72,300.00
05/01/2037	1,170,000.00	6.000%	72,300.00	1,242,300.00
11/01/2037	-	-	37,200.00	37,200.00
05/01/2038	1,240,000.00	6.000%	37,200.00	1,277,200.00
Total	\$18,095,000.00	-	\$21,881,550.00	\$39,976,550.00

Fiddler's Creek # 1

Community Development District

Series 2006

\$6,570,000

Amortization Schedule

Date	Principal	Int. Rate	Interest	Total P+I
11/01/2007	-	-	-	-
05/01/2008	435,000.00	4.200%	124,530.00	559,530.00
11/01/2008	-	-	115,395.00	115,395.00
05/01/2009	450,000.00	4.200%	115,395.00	565,395.00
11/01/2009	-	-	105,945.00	105,945.00
05/01/2010	470,000.00	4.200%	105,945.00	575,945.00
11/01/2010	-	-	96,075.00	96,075.00
05/01/2011	490,000.00	4.200%	96,075.00	586,075.00
11/01/2011	-	-	85,785.00	85,785.00
05/01/2012	515,000.00	4.200%	85,785.00	600,785.00
11/01/2012	-	-	74,970.00	74,970.00
05/01/2013	535,000.00	4.200%	74,970.00	609,970.00
11/01/2013	-	-	63,735.00	63,735.00
05/01/2014	560,000.00	4.200%	63,735.00	623,735.00
11/01/2014	-	-	51,975.00	51,975.00
05/01/2015	580,000.00	4.200%	51,975.00	631,975.00
11/01/2015	-	-	39,795.00	39,795.00
05/01/2016	605,000.00	4.200%	39,795.00	644,795.00
11/01/2016	-	-	27,090.00	27,090.00
05/01/2017	630,000.00	4.200%	27,090.00	657,090.00
11/01/2017	-	-	13,860.00	13,860.00
05/01/2018	660,000.00	4.200%	13,860.00	673,860.00
Total	\$5,930,000.00	-	\$1,473,780.00	\$7,403,780.00